# **NSSE 2016 Snapshot**

## **Bowling Green State University**

## **A Summary of Student Engagement Results**

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys first-year and senior students to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

#### **Comparison Group**

The comparison group featured in this report is

#### **Comparison 2016**

See your *Selected Comparison Groups* report for details.

This *Snapshot* is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2016 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

| Engagement Indicators Sets of items are grouped into ten  |              |                                   | Your students compared with Comparison 2016 |                |
|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|
| Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your institution. For details, see your <i>Engagement Indicators</i> report. | <u>Theme</u> | Engagement Indicator              | First-year                                  | Senior         |
|   |              | Higher-Order Learning             |   | $\nabla$       |
|   | Academic     | Reflective & Integrative Learning | $\nabla$                                    |                |
|   | Challenge    | Learning Strategies               | $\nabla$                                    | $\blacksquare$ |
| Key:  |              | <b>Quantitative Reasoning</b>     |   |                |
| Your students' average was significantly higher $(p < .05)$ with an effect size at leas .3 in magnitude.  |              | Collaborative Learning            |   | Δ              |
| Your students' average was significantly $\triangle$ higher ( $p < .05$ ) with an effect size less th .3 in magnitude.  |              | Discussions with Diverse Others   | $\nabla$                                    | $\nabla$       |
| No significant difference.  | Experiences  | Student-Faculty Interaction       | Δ   | Δ              |
| Your students' average was significantly lower ( $p < .05$ ) with an effect size less tha .3 in magnitude.  |              | Effective Teaching Practices      |   | $\nabla$       |
| Your students' average was significantly  ▼ lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.   | Cumbus       | Quality of Interactions           | $\nabla$                                    | $\nabla$       |
|   | Environment  | Supportive Environment            | $\nabla$                                    |                |

### **High-Impact Practices**

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

First-year Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research w/Faculty

Senior
Learning Community, Service-Learning, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience

