

SCREENING FOR SUICIDALITY IN AFRICAN AMERICAN CHURCHES

Jesse Ojeda, MA; Sidney Hankerson, MD,MBA; Amita Joshua, MPH; Eleanor Murphy, PhD; Priya Wickramaratne, PhD; Lauren Weinstock, PhD; Peter Wyman, PhD; Sherry Molock, PhD; and Myrna Weissman, PhD

PRESENTING AUTHOR
Jesse Ojeda, M.A.

INTRODUCTION

- Suicide deaths rates in African Americans (AA) increased from 7.3 to 8.7% over 2018-2021, a significant 19.2% jump.⁽¹⁾
 - In AA females, rates of suicide completion rose over 102% from 1999-2022. ⁽¹⁾
 - AA aged 15-24 and 25-34 have seen age-adjusted rates increase significantly by 16% and 12%, respectively. ⁽²⁾
- Depression is a major risk factor for suicide ideation (SI): In nationally representative samples, depressed AA adults were more likely to report SI or make an attempt than compared to Non-Hispanic White adults ⁽⁴⁾. For attempts, this association was seen regardless of previous depressive episodes
- Considering persistent racial treatment disparities for depression ⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾ which worsened during the pandemic ⁽⁸⁾, novel settings should be used to identify AA at increased suicide risk
- The Black Church may be a culturally relevant, trusted community setting to identify people with increased risk ⁽⁹⁾
 - AAs have the highest rates of church attendance and self-rated religious importance of all US racial groups ^(10,11)
 - When AA with a serious personal problem (including SI and depression) seek help from clergy, over 72% utilize resources in Black churches rather than other health locations ^(12,13)
- To explore this, the authors conducted a secondary analysis of a church-based sample to identify SI correlates among AA within a trusted, faith-based setting

METHODOLOGY

- 122 participants were screened from three AA mega-churches in Oct/Nov 2012 in a large Northeastern US city. Inclusion criteria were being aged 18-70 and having English fluency
 - Full data collection design and community collaboration is described in the original paper ⁽¹⁴⁾
- Depression was screened for using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9, a valid and reliable screener in AA samples ^(15,16). Item 9 of this measure was used to screen for SI
- Bivariate logistic regression assessed associations between SI screen and each demographic variable
- Chi-Square analysis assessed associations between help-seeking behavior, suicidality, depression, and other demographics

DEMOGRAPHICS

- The sample (N=122) consisted of men (n=48, 44.1%) and women (n=63, 55.9%; 11 unreported). Mean age was 53.7 (SD= 13.3)
- The sample was evenly split between married (31%), divorced, (32%), and single individuals (31%). 6% were widowed
- A plurality had a total household income of \$35-69.9k (33.3%). 70k+ (26.3%) was the 2nd most frequent level, followed by <\$19k (21.1%) and \$20-35k (19.3%)

Table 1. Bivariate associations between SI, demographics, and depressive symptoms

Category	Total N (%)	Suicidal Ideation		Odds Ratio (95% C.I.)		p-value
		Positive N (%)	Negative N (%)			
Employment Status						0.042*
Worker for pay [Ref]	46 (38.0)	3 (6.5)	43 (93.5)	1		
Retired	31 (25.6)	2 (6.5)	29 (93.5)	1.0	0.2-5.6	
Student, disabled, homemaker, or multi	32 (26.5)	29 (90.6)	3 (9.4)	1.4	0.3-6.7	
Unemployed	12 (9.9)	4 (33.3)	8 (66.7)	5.1	1.3-19.8	
Insurance Type						0.07†
Private Insurance[Ref]	62 (52.5)	3 (4.8)	59(95.2)	1		
Medicaid, Medicare, Public insurance	45 (38.1)	7 (15.6)	38 (84.4)	3.2	0.9-11.7	
No coverage/Self-pay	11 (9.4)	2 (18.2)	9 (81.8)	3.8	0.7-20.0	
Depressive Symptom Severity						0.025*
Minimal (0-4) [Ref]	70 (57.4)	1 (1.4)	69 (98.6)	1		
Mild (5-9)	28 (23.0)	5 (17.9)	23 (82.1)	12.5	1.5-102.3	0.019*
Moderate/Severe(10+)	24 (19.6)	6 (25)	18 (75)	17.5	2.2-138.0	0.007**

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

Table 2. Bivariate associations between help-seeking, suicidality, depression severity and education

VARIABLE	Professional Help seeking		Chi-square (df)	p-value
	No (n=38)	Yes (n=77)		
SUICIDALITY			0.001 (1)	.982
Negative	34 (89.5)	69 (89.6)		
Positive	4 (10.5)	8 (10.4)		
DEPRESSION				.057†
None	26 (68.4)	38 (49.4)	5.7 (2)	.053†
Mild	4 (10.5)	23 (29.9)*a		.021*
Moderate-Severe	8 (21.1)	16 (20.8)		
EDUCATION			8.3 (3)	.041*
< 12 years	1 (2.6)	6 (7.8)		
HS graduate	6 (15.8)	5 (6.5)		
Some college/Tech Sch.	9 (23.7)	35 (45.5)*a		.024*
4 years+ college	22 (57.9)	31 (40.3)		

*p < 0.05, *Bonferroni adjusted

Regression estimates obtained using Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) analysis, Poisson distribution, log link function. Significance levels, two-tailed, Bonferroni adjusted for pairwise comparisons.

RESULTS

- Overall, only 10% screened positive for SI (N=12)
- There were no significant group differences by gender, education, marital status, or income
- Unemployed people were significantly more likely to report SI than workers for pay [OR=5.1,95% CI=1.3-19.8)
- Respondents with Medicare/Medicaid/other Gov. program trended more likely to report SI than those with private insurance (OR= 3.2, 95% CI=0.9-11.7, p=0.07)
- Compared to the minimally depressed, the mildly (OR=12.5, p=.019) and moderate-severely depressed group (OR=17.5, p=.007) were significantly more likely to report SI
- Considering help-seeking, a significantly higher amount of mildly depressed people sought help than not (p=.021)
 - Conversely, those with moderate-severe depression were not more likely to seek help
- Finally, respondents with some college education were more likely to seek help than HS or college graduates (p < .024)

DISCUSSION

- This study affirms that a valid measure can be used in Black churches to screen for SI in AAs
- Participants with mild depression were significantly more likely to screen for SI than those with minimal, highlighting a stark concern: there exists a prevalence of SI in those without clinically significant depressive symptoms
 - This “**silent suicidality**” is an under-studied phenomenon with high clinical implications ⁽¹⁷⁾
- After depressive symptoms, employment status was the strongest SI predictor, unemployment specifically
 - This relation is supported by the literature in AA ^(18,19) and worsened in the pandemic ⁽²⁰⁾
- The Black church can function as a natural network to address treatment disparities in hosting screenings ⁽²¹⁾ and employment fairs ^(22,23). It can also naturally strengthen protective factors such as social bonds, cohesion, and help-seeking to decrease suicide risk
- Future studies should explore this phenomenon in larger SI samples to confirm generalizability of results

