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What Are Scales and Indices?

• Scales and indices are composite measures that use multiple items to collect information about a construct. These items are then used to rank individuals.

• Examples of scales:
  – Depression scale
  – Anxiety scale
  – Mastery scale

• Examples of indices:
  – Socio-Economic Status (SES) index
  – Consumer price index
  – Stock market index
  – Body mass Index
Graphical Presentation of Relations between Items and Constructs for Scales and Indices

- **Scale:**
  - Depression
  - Feeling sad
  - Sleepless
  - Suicidal Ideation

- **Index:**
  - Education
  - Income
  - Occupation
  - Social Economic Status
Why Do Sociologists Need Scales and Indices?

• Most social phenomenon of interest are multi-dimensional constructs and cannot be measured by a single question, for example:
  – Well-being
  – Violence

• When a single question is used, the information may not be very reliable because people may have different responses to a particular word or idea in the question.

• The variation of one question may not be enough to differentiate individuals.

• Scales and indices allow researchers to focus on large theoretical constructs rather than individual empirical indicator.
Similarities and Differences between Scales and Indices

Similarities:
• Both try to measure a composite construct or constructs.
• Both recognize that the construct or constructs have multiple-dimensional attributes.
• Both use multiple items to capture these attributes.
• Both can apply various measurement levels (i.e., nominal, ordinal, interval, and ratio) to the items.
• Both are composite measures as they both aggregate the information from multiple items.
• Both use the weighted sum of the items to assign a score to individuals.
• The score that an individual has on an index or a scale indicates his/her position relative to those of other people.

Differences:
• Scale consists of effect indicator, but index includes causal indicators
• Scales are always used to give scores at individual level. However, indices could be used to give scores at both individual and aggregate levels.
• They differ in how the items are aggregated.
• Many discussions on the reliability and validity of the scales, but few discussions on those of indices.
Construction of Scales

• DeVellis, Robert (2011) Scale Development: Theory and Applications

1. Determine clearly what construct you want to measure
2. Generate an item pool
3. Determine the format for measurement
4. Have the initial item pool reviewed by experts
5. Consider inclusion of validation items
6. Administer items to a development sample
7. Evaluate the items
8. Optimize scale lengths
Construction of Indices

Babbie, E. (2010) suggested the following steps of constructing an index:

1. Select possible items
   - Decide how general or specific your variable will be
   - Select items with high face validity
   - Choose items that measure one dimension of the construct
   - Consider the amount of variance that each item provides

2. Examine empirical relations
   - Examine the empirical relations among the items you wish to include in the index

3. Score the index
   - What the score range of index is
   - What scores are assigned to responses of the item
   - Is there an adequate number of cases at each point in the index

4. Validate the index
   - Item analysis
   - The association between this index and other related measures
Concepts of Reliability and Validity

- **Reliability**: Whether a particular technique, applied repeatedly to the same object, yields the same result each time
  - Test-retest reliability
  - Alternate-forms reliability (split-halves reliability)
  - Inter-observer reliability
  - Inter-item reliability (internal consistency)

- **Validity**: The extent to which an empirical measure adequately reflects the real meaning of the concept under consideration
  - Face validity
  - Content validity
  - Construct validity (convergent validity and discriminant validity)
  - Criterion validity (concurrent validity and predictive validity)
Reliability

• Test-retest reliability:
  – Apply the test at two different time points. The degree to which the two measurements are related to each other is called test-retest reliability
  – Example: Take a test of math ability and then retake the same test two months later. If receiving a similar score both times, the reliability of this test is high.
  – Possible problems:
    • Test-retest reliability holds only when the phenomenon do not change between two points in time.
    • Respondents may get a better score when taking the same test the second time, which reduce the test-retest reliability
Reliability (Cont.)

• Alternate-forms reliability:
  • Compare respondents’ answers to slightly different versions of survey questions. The degree to which the two measurements are related to each other is called alternative-form reliability.

  • Possible problem: How to make sure these two alternate-forms are equivalent?
Reliability (Cont.)

• Split-halves reliability
  • Similar to the concept of alternate-forms reliability
  • Randomly divide survey sample into two. These two halves of the sample answer two forms of the questions. If the responses of the two halves of the sample are about the same, the measure’s reliability is established
  • Possible problem: What if these two halves are not equivalent?
Reliability (Cont.)

• Inter-observer reliability
  • When more than one observer to rate the same people, events, or places
  • If observers are using the same instrument to rate the same thing, their ratings should be very similar. If they are similar, we can have much confidence that the ratings reflect the phenomenon being assessed than the orientations of the observers
  • Possible problem: The reliability is established for observers, not for the measurement items. Thus, inter-observer reliability cannot be generalized to studies with different observers.
Reliability (Cont.)

• Inter-item reliability
  – Apply only when you have **multiple items** to measure a single concept.
  – The stronger the association among the individual items, the higher the reliability of the measures.
  – In Statistics, we use Cronbach’s Alpha to measure inter-item reliability.

• Possible problems
  – You can increase the value of Cronbach’s Alpha by increasing the number of scale items even if these items are not highly correlated.
Validity

- The extent to which an empirical measure adequately reflects **the real meaning of the concept** under consideration
  - Face validity
  - Content validity
  - Criterion validity (concurrent and predictive validity)
  - Construct validity (convergent and discriminant validity)
Face Validity

• The quality of an indicator that makes it seem a reasonable measure of some variable (“on its face”)

Example: frequency of church attendance – an indicator of a person’s religiosity
Content Validity

• The degree to which a measure covers the full range of the concept’s meaning

Example: Attitudes toward police department contain different domains, for example, expectation, past experience, others’ experience, and mass media
Criterion Validity

• The degree to which a measure relates to some external criterion

Example: People who score high on a depression scales also are more likely to receive a diagnosis of clinical depression.
Concurrent Validity

• A measure yields scores that are closely related to scores obtained by using previously established measures of the same construct.

Example: Comparing a test of sales ability to the person’s sales performance
Predictive Validity

• The ability of a measure to predict score on a criterion measured **in the future**

Example: SAT scores can predict the college students’ GPA (SAT scores would be a valid indicator of a college student’s success)
Construct Validity

• The degree to which a measure relates to other variables as expected within a system of theoretical relationships

Example: If we believe that marital satisfaction is related to marital fidelity, the response to the measure of marital satisfaction and the response to the measure of marital fidelity should act in the expected direction (i.e., more satisfied couples also less likely to cheat each other)
Convergent vs. Discriminant Validity

• Convergent validity -- one measure of a concept is associated with different types of measures of the same concept

• Discriminant validity -- one measure of a concept is not associated with measures of different concepts
## Reliability and Validity of Scales and Indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scales</th>
<th>Indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test-retest reliability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate-forms reliability (split-halves reliability)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-observer reliability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-item reliability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Validity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face validity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content validity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion validity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concurrent validity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>predictive validity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct validity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>convergent validity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discriminant validity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The reliability and validity of scales and indices
Different Ways of Aggregating Items

- **Sum scores**
  - No measurement model is used
  - Each item is viewed as contributing equally to the sum score
  - Sum scores are obtained by adding all the item scores together

- **Scale scores**
  - Factor analysis is used
  - Items contribute differently to the latent factors underlying these items
  - Sum scores are obtained by weighting and aggregating item scores together

- **Index scores**
  - Some indexes are not built upon measurement models (e.g., BMI, CPI). However, if researchers want to create a new index. Principal component analysis is useful for this purpose.
  - Items contribute differently to the index (or component) scores.
  - Index (or component) scores are obtained by weighting and aggregating item scores together
Different Ways of Aggregating Items (Cont.)

- See State handouts
Conclusions

• Both indices and scales are composite measures that use multiple items to collect information about a construct.

• With indices and scales, researchers can work with a smaller number of variables as opposed to analyzing large number of items.

• Scales have effect indicators, while indices have causal indicators.

• To determine whether an index or a scale is being created, it is helpful to consider why the items are related to each other.
  – If these items are related to each other because of common factors, then a scale is created and factor analysis is the measurement model.
  – If these items do not share common factors and the purpose of aggregating items is simply to combine the information of items, then an index is created and principal component analysis should be used.

• If you have any questions about measuring a construct or constructs, please come see me at 5D, Williams Hall or send me an email (wuh@bgsu.edu).