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Marital behavior has changed dramatically in the U.S. (FP-21-24), with variation across sociodemographic characteristics such as education and race-ethnicity (FP-21-12). Using data from the American Community Survey (ACS), this profile examines the share of never-married men aged 35-39 by race-ethnicity and educational attainment. We focus on those aged 35-39 because this age bracket is above the median age of first marriage (the age in which at least 50% of men were married) in 2019 (FP-21-12) and captures most first marital experiences. White, Black, and Asian refers to those who are non-Hispanic and report a single race in the ACS, and Hispanic refers to those who report their ethnicity as Hispanic, regardless of race. Those with a two-year degree are included in the “some college” category.

- Overall, the share of men aged 35-39 who had never married was 32% in 2019, up from 21% in 2005 (not shown). The educational disparities among never married men have widened over this time span.

White Men

- In 2005, the education gradient in marriage was quite narrow. About one in five (22%) of White men aged 35-39 in the lowest education category—H.S. diploma or less—had never married, compared to 17% in the highest education category—post-graduate degree.
- Between 2005 and 2019, the greatest change occurred among those with modest educational attainment. The share of never-married White men aged 35-39 with a H.S. diploma or less increased substantially to nearly two-thirds.
- In contrast, there was little change among those with the highest education levels. In 2019, 18% of men with a post-graduate degree remained unmarried.

Black Men

- In 2005, nearly two-thirds of Black men aged 35-39 with a H.S. diploma or less had never married, compared to just one-fifth of those with a post-graduate degree.
- Between 2005 and 2019, the share of Black men who never married rose to 57% among those with a H.S. diploma or less, but the largest absolute increase was among those with some college, rising from 26% to 48%.
- Conversely, those with a bachelor’s degree but no post-graduate degree had the smallest change—36% had never been married in 2019, up from 29% in 2005.

Figure 1. Share of Never-Married White Men Aged 35-39 by Education, 2005-2019

Figure 2. Share of Never-Married Black Men Aged 35-39 by Education, 2005-2019

Source: NCFMR analyses of the American Community Survey 1-year estimates, 2005-2019
Hispanic Men

- In 2005, among Hispanic men aged 35-39, there were few differentials in marriage by education level. The largest share never married was 26% among those with a bachelor’s degree, whereas the smallest share was 18% among those with a post-graduate degree.
- Between 2005 and 2019, the share of unmarried Hispanic men increased among all educational attainment groups. The largest increase was among those with a H.S. diploma or less.
- The smallest change occurred among those with a post-graduate degree; in 2019, 22% had never married.

Figure 3. Share of Never-Married Hispanic Men Aged 35-39 by Education, 2005-2019

Asian Men

- In 2005, the share of never-married Asian men aged 35-39 was about 20% among those without a post-graduate degree. Only 12% of men with a post-graduate degree had not married in 2019.
- Between 2005 and 2019, the share never married increased the most among those with some college—from 20% in 2005 to 34% in 2019.
- The smallest increase was found among those with a post-graduate degree. Their share only increased to 14% in 2019.

Figure 4. Share of Never-Married Asian Men Aged 35-39 by Education, 2005-2019

Source: NCFMR analyses of the American Community Survey 1-year estimates, 2005-2019
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