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There is a well-documented educational gradient in marriage, with smaller shares never-married among the highly educated than among the less educated (FP-22-01). However, the population of college-educated individuals has increased, and there is growing heterogeneity among this population. In turn, there is likely heterogeneity in the marriage experience among those with a bachelor’s degree. This profile uses the American Community Survey (ACS) to examine the share of adults aged 35-39 with a bachelor’s degree or more by race/ethnicity and gender and, among this group, the share never-married by race/ethnicity and gender in 2005 and 2019. We focus on those aged 35-39 because this age bracket is above the median age at first marriage (the age in which at least 50% of people were married) in 2019 for college-educated men and women (FP-21-12) and is above the average age of those enrolled in higher education in the U.S. (Fishman et al., 2017).

College Education Among 35-39-Year-Olds

- Between 2005 and 2019, the share of adults aged 35-39 who held at least a bachelor’s degree increased for both men and women in all four of the racial/ethnic categories examined, but the magnitude of increase varied across groups.
  - In 2005, larger shares of Asian, White, and Black men were college-educated compared to women.
  - By 2019, this pattern was reversed for Whites and Blacks, such that larger shares of White and Black women were college-educated compared to men.
  - In 2019, equal shares of Asian men and women held a bachelor’s degree or higher.
  - Throughout the period, the share of Hispanic women who were college-educated was larger than that of Hispanic men.

- There was an overall race/ethnic pattern in the share college-educated that persisted throughout the period, with Asians having the largest share with at least a bachelor’s degree, followed by Whites, Blacks, and Hispanics.

Figure 1. Share of Adults Aged 35-39 with a Bachelor’s Degree or Higher by Race and Gender, 2005-2019

Source: NCFMR calculations of the American Community Survey 1-year estimates, 2005-2019
Non-Marriage Among College-Educated Men and Women

- Race/ethnic differentials in marriage among the college educated were greater among women than men.
  - In 2019, among college-educated men, one-third of Black men had never married (34%), followed by over a quarter of Hispanic men (27%) and two-fifths of White men (21%). The smallest share was among Asian men (19%) (Figure 2).
  - In 2019, the share of college-educated women who had never married was highest among Black women (41%), followed by Hispanic women (23%) and White women (17%). The smallest share was among Asian women at 14% (Figure 3).
- The share of college-educated men and women who had never married increased between 2005 and 2019, and the increase occurred for Asian, Black, Hispanic, and White men and women.
  - Among men, the increase was largest among Black men and smallest among Asian and White men.
  - Among women, the increase in the share never-married was greatest among Black women and smallest among Asian and White women.
- In both 2005 and 2019, the share of college-educated men aged 35-39 who had never married was higher than the share never-married among women for White, Hispanic, and Asian adults. In contrast, among college-educated Black adults, the share of never-married was greater among women than men.

Figure 2. Share of Men Aged 35-39 with a Bachelor’s Degree or Higher who Never Married by Race, 2005-2019

Figure 3. Share of Women Aged 35-39 with a Bachelor’s Degree or Higher who Never Married by Race, 2005-2019

Source: NCFMR calculations of the American Community Survey 1-year estimates, 2005-2019
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