



LEED Certification Review Report

This report contains the results of the technical review of an application for LEED® certification submitted for the specified project. LEED certification is an official recognition that a project complies with the requirements prescribed within the LEED rating systems as created and maintained by the U.S. Green Building Council® (USGBC®). The LEED certification program is administered by Green Business Certification Inc. (GBCI®).

BGSU Kuhlin Center

Project ID 1000053888
Rating system & version LEED-NC v2009
Project registration date 01/20/2015



Construction Application Decision

CERTIFIED: 40-49, SILVER: 50-59, GOLD: 60-79, PLATINUM: 80+

LEED 2009 NEW CONSTRUCTION

ATTEMPTED: 62, DENIED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 62 OF 107 POINTS

	SUSTAINABLE SITES	18 OF 26			MATERIALS AND RESOURCES	CONTINUED
	SSp1 Construction Activity Pollution Prevention	Y			MRC5 Regional Materials	2 / 2
	SSc1 Site Selection	1 / 1			MRC6 Rapidly Renewable Materials	0 / 1
	SSc2 Development Density and Community Connectivity	5 / 5			MRC7 Certified Wood	1 / 1
	SSc3 Brownfield Redevelopment	1 / 1				
	SSc4.1 Alternative Transportation-Public Transportation Access	6 / 6			INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	11 OF 15
	SSc4.2 Alternative Transportation-Bicycle Storage and Changing Room	0 / 1			IEQp1 Minimum IAQ Performance	Y
	SSc4.3 Alternative Transportation-Low-Emitting and Fuel-Efficient V	0 / 3			IEQp2 Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	Y
	SSc4.4 Alternative Transportation-Parking Capacity	2 / 2			IEQc1 Outdoor Air Delivery Monitoring	0 / 1
	SSc5.1 Site Development-Protect or Restore Habitat	0 / 1			IEQc2 Increased Ventilation	0 / 1
	SSc5.2 Site Development-Maximize Open Space	1 / 1			IEQc3.1 Construction IAQ Mgmt Plan-During Construction	1 / 1
	SSc6.1 Stormwater Design-Quantity Control	0 / 1			IEQc3.2 Construction IAQ Mgmt Plan-Before Occupancy	1 / 1
	SSc6.2 Stormwater Design-Quality Control	0 / 1			IEQc4.1 Low-Emitting Materials-Adhesives and Sealants	1 / 1
	SSc7.1 Heat Island Effect, Non-Roof	1 / 1			IEQc4.2 Low-Emitting Materials-Paints and Coatings	1 / 1
	SSc7.2 Heat Island Effect-Roof	1 / 1			IEQc4.3 Low-Emitting Materials-Flooring Systems	1 / 1
	SSc8 Light Pollution Reduction	0 / 1			IEQc4.4 Low-Emitting Materials-Composite Wood and Agrifiber Products	1 / 1
					IEQc5 Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control	0 / 1
	WATER EFFICIENCY	4 OF 10			IEQc6.1 Controllability of Systems-Lighting	1 / 1
	WEp1 Water Use Reduction-20% Reduction	Y			IEQc6.2 Controllability of Systems-Thermal Comfort	1 / 1
	WEc1 Water Efficient Landscaping	0 / 4			IEQc7.1 Thermal Comfort-Design	1 / 1
	WEc2 Innovative Wastewater Technologies	0 / 2			IEQc7.2 Thermal Comfort-Verification	1 / 1
	WEc3 Water Use Reduction	4 / 4			IEQc8.1 Daylight and Views-Daylight	0 / 1
					IEQc8.2 Daylight and Views-Views	1 / 1
	ENERGY AND ATMOSPHERE	13 OF 35			INNOVATION IN DESIGN	5 OF 6
	EAp1 Fundamental Commissioning of the Building Energy Systems	Y			IDc1.1 Innovation in Design: Reduced Mercury in Lamps	1 / 1
	EAp2 Minimum Energy Performance	Y			IDc1.1 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
	EAp3 Fundamental Refrigerant Mgmt	Y			IDc1.2 Innovation in Design	1 / 1
	EAc1 Optimize Energy Performance	6 / 19			IDc1.2 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
	EAc2 On-Site Renewable Energy	0 / 7			IDc1.3 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
	EAc3 Enhanced Commissioning	2 / 2			IDc1.3 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
	EAc4 Enhanced Refrigerant Mgmt	0 / 2			IDc1.3 EAc6 Green Power	1 / 1
	EAc5 Measurement and Verification	3 / 3			IDc1.4 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
	EAc6 Green Power	2 / 2			IDc1.4 Green Cleaning Policy/Program	1 / 1
					IDc1.5 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
					IDc1.5 Innovation in Design	0 / 1
					IDc2 LEED® Accredited Professional	1 / 1
	MATERIALS AND RESOURCES	10 OF 14			REGIONAL PRIORITY CREDITS	1 OF 1
	MRp1 Storage and Collection of Recyclables	Y			SSc3 Brownfield Redevelopment	1 / 1
	MRC1.1 Building Reuse-Maintain Existing Walls, Floors and Roof	3 / 3				
	MRC1.2 Building Reuse - Maintain 50% of Interior Non-Structural Ele	0 / 1				
	MRC2 Construction Waste Mgmt	2 / 2				
	MRC3 Materials Reuse	0 / 2				
	MRC4 Recycled Content	2 / 2				
				TOTAL		62 OF 107

CREDIT DETAILS



Project Information Forms

P1f1: Minimum Program Requirements **Approved**

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with all Minimum Program Requirements. The project will comply with MPR 6: Must Commit to Sharing Whole-Building Energy and Water Usage Data via Option 1: Third Party Data Source. The project is located in Bowling Green, Ohio.

P1f2: Project Summary Details **Approved**

12/11/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance and the inconsistency with IEQp1: Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance has been explained.

09/20/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form includes the required project summary details. There is one building in this LEED application with a total of four stories and 52,688 gross square feet. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. The total area reported here (52,688 square feet) is inconsistent with that within IEQp1: Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance (36,173 square feet). Square footage values must be reported consistently. Provide a narrative and revise the form to ensure that the total gross square footage is consistent across all submittals.

P1f3: Occupant and Usage Data **Approved**

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form includes the required occupant and usage data. The project consists primarily of Core Learning Space: College/University spaces. The average users value is 598, the peak users value is 673, and the FTE value is 73.

P1f4: Schedule and Overview Documents **Approved**

12/11/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

This form was previously approved in the Design Preliminary Review phase. The form has been revised and states that the date of substantial completion is July 1, 2016 and the date of occupancy is August 15, 2016. The documentation continues to demonstrate compliance.

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form includes the design and construction schedule. The date of substantial completion is June 8, 2016 and the date of occupancy is July 25, 2016. The required documents have been uploaded.



Sustainable Sites

SSp1: Construction Activity Pollution Prevention

Awarded

02/27/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project has implemented an erosion and sedimentation control (ESC) plan that conforms to the 2003 EPA Construction General Permit (CGP).

SSc1: Site Selection

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project site does not meet any of the prohibited criteria.

SSc2: Development Density and Community Connectivity

Awarded: 5

POSSIBLE POINTS: 5

ATTEMPTED: 5, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 5

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 2: Community Connectivity.

SSc3: Brownfield Redevelopment

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/09/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project has documented asbestos contamination in the building. Remediation of the asbestos has been or will be remediated as part of the project scope according to an acceptable standard.

SSc4.1: Alternative Transportation-Public Transportation Access

Awarded: 6

POSSIBLE POINTS: 6

ATTEMPTED: 6, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 6

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 2: Bus Station Proximity and is located within one-quarter mile walking distance of one or more stops for two or more public, campus, or private bus lines usable by building occupants.

SSc4.2: Alternative Transportation-Bicycle Storage and Changing Rooms

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

SSc4.3: Alternative Transportation-Low-Emitting and Fuel-Efficient Vehicles

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 3

SSc4.4: Alternative Transportation-Parking Capacity

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that no new parking has been created within the LEED project scope of work.

SSc5.1: Site Development-Protect or Restore Habitat

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

SSc5.2: Site Development-Maximize Open Space **Awarded: 1**

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/09/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Case 2: Sites with No Local Zoning Requirements. The open space provided is equal to or greater than the footprint of the LEED project building.

SSc6.1: Stormwater Design-Quantity Control

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

SSc6.2: Stormwater Design-Quality Control

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

SSc7.1: Heat Island Effect, Non-Roof

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 1 and 86% of nonroof base building hardscape surfaces will be mitigated through the use of materials with an SRI of at least 29.

SSc7.2: Heat Island Effect-Roof

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

12/11/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance.

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 1 and 100% of the building roof surface has a Solar Reflectance Index meeting the credit requirements. The project has selected the Licensed Professional Exemption (LPE). However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. The Team Administration tab indicates that the license for Bryan Greene expired on 12/31/2013. Provide a narrative and ensure that the LPE has been claimed by an individual with a professional license that is in good-standing at the time the LPE was claimed on the Credit Form. If a licensed professional is not valid, select the full documentation path and provide the additional required documentation.

SSc8: Light Pollution Reduction

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted



Water Efficiency

WEp1: Water Use Reduction-20% Reduction

Awarded

09/14/2016 **DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW**

The LEED Form states that the project has reduced potable water use by 40.87%.

WEc1: Water Efficient Landscaping

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

**Not
Attempted**

WEc2: Innovative Wastewater Technologies

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

**Not
Attempted**

WEc3: Water Use Reduction

POSSIBLE POINTS: 4

ATTEMPTED: 4, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 4

Awarded: 4

09/14/2016 **DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW**

The LEED Form states that the project has reduced potable water use by 40.87%.



Energy and Atmosphere

EAp1: Fundamental Commissioning of the Building Energy Systems

Awarded

03/04/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that fundamental commissioning is complete.

EAp2: Minimum Energy Performance

Awarded

12/08/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 1: Whole Building Energy Simulation and has achieved an energy cost savings of 18.47%. The total predicted annual energy consumption for the project is 594,576 kWh of electricity and 3,629 therms of natural gas.

09/19/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 1: Whole Building Energy Simulation and has achieved an energy cost savings of 19.03%. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following comments requiring a project response must be addressed for the Final Review.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. Provide the following:

a. A narrative response to each Preliminary Review comment below.

b. A narrative describing any additional changes made to the energy models between the Preliminary and Final Review phases not addressed by the responses to the review comments. The mandatory comments are perceived to reduce the projected savings for the Proposed design. If the projected savings increase substantially in the Final submission, without implementing any optional comments that may improve performance, a narrative explanation for these results must be provided.

2. Supplemental Table 1.4.7A indicates the MERV 13 filter pressure credit has been calculated using the full supply air flow rate for each Baseline system. Based upon the mechanical drawings provided in Pf4: Schedule and Overview Documents, only the three DOAS systems have been provided with MERV 13 filters in the actual design; therefore, this pressure credit must be calculated using only the outdoor air flow rate for each Baseline system. Revise Table 1.4.7A so that the MERV 13 credit is calculated using only the outside air flow rate, update the Baseline model with the revised fan power allowance, and revise the submittal documentation accordingly.

3. It is unclear whether the Proposed Case HVAC systems was modeled as designed because the DOAS capacities and fan powers reported in Table 1.4.7B do not appear to be consistent with the mechanical schedule uploaded under Pf4: Schedule and Overview Documents. Additionally, while it is understood the DOAS units utilize air-side heat recovery, the reported cooling efficiencies (19.52 EER to 28.62 EER) appear significantly greater than expected for water-cooled heat pumps. ASHRAE 90.1-2007 Table G3.1.10 (b)(Proposed) requires that the model be consistent with the design documents. Update the model so that all HVAC system parameters (e.g. fan volumes, fan powers, efficiencies, heating/cooling capacities, etc.) are consistent with the design documents, update Supplemental Table 1.4.7B to reflect all changes made, and update the form to reflect any changes made. Provide manufacturer selections or cut sheets confirming the DOAS efficiencies.

EAp3: Fundamental Refrigerant Management

Awarded

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that there are no CFC-based refrigerants serving the project building.

EAc1: Optimize Energy Performance

Awarded: 6

POSSIBLE POINTS: 19

ATTEMPTED: 6, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 6

12/08/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

Additional documentation has been provided for EAp2: Minimum Energy Performance claiming an energy cost savings of 18.47%.

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project has achieved an energy cost savings of 19.03%. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. Refer to the comments within EAp2: Minimum Energy Performance and resubmit this credit.

EAc2: On-Site Renewable Energy
POSSIBLE POINTS: 7

Not Attempted

EAc3: Enhanced Commissioning

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

03/04/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that enhanced commissioning has been implemented.

EAc4: Enhanced Refrigerant Management

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

EAc5: Measurement and Verification

Awarded: 3

POSSIBLE POINTS: 3

ATTEMPTED: 3, DENIED: 1, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 3

05/13/2018 CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW

This credit was previously awarded following Option 3 during the Construction Preliminary Review. The LEED Form has been revised and states that the project complies with Option 1 and has developed and implemented a Measurement and Verification (M&V) plan consistent with Option D: Calibrated Simulation (Savings Estimation Method) in the IPMVP Volume III: Concepts and Options for Determining Energy Savings in New Construction, April 2003.

03/04/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project complies with Option 3 and has committed to sharing whole-building energy and water data through the ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager.

EAc6: Green Power

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

05/13/2018 CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW

This credit was submitted for initial review during the Construction Final Review. The LEED Form states that the project has a two-year purchase agreement to procure 70.05% of electricity for this LEED project that meets the Green-e definition for renewable power using Option 1: Whole Building Energy Simulation.



Materials and Resources

MRp1: Storage and Collection of Recyclables

Awarded

09/14/2016 **DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW**

The LEED Form states that the project has provided appropriately sized dedicated areas for the collection and storage of materials for recycling.

MRc1.1: Building Reuse-Maintain Existing Walls, Floors and Roof

Awarded: 3

POSSIBLE POINTS: 3

ATTEMPTED: 3, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 3

03/09/2017 **CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW**

The LEED Form states that the project is undergoing a major renovation, includes additions equal to 33.56% of the existing gross floor area, and that 96.06% of the existing structural elements are being reused.

MRc1.2: Building Reuse - Maintain 50% of Interior Non-Structural Elements

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

MRc2: Construction Waste Management

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

03/09/2017 **CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW**

The LEED Form states that the project has diverted 90.3% of the on-site generated construction waste from landfill.

MRc3: Materials Reuse

Not Attempted

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

MRc4: Recycled Content

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

05/14/2018 **CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW**

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance and states that 24.94% of the total building materials content, by value, has been manufactured using recycled materials.

03/10/2017 **CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW**

The LEED Form states that 24.96% of the total building materials content, by value, has been manufactured using recycled materials. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. Plf2: Project Summary Details states that the project budget is \$24,000,000 but the hard costs for CSI MasterFormat 2004 Divisions 3-10, 31.60.00, 32.10.00, 32.30.00, and 32.90.00 shown in the calculator provided is \$6,995,738 resulting in a total materials cost for the LEED project of 3,148,082.10 or 13% of the project budget. These costs appear low compared to the project budget. Provide a narrative explaining the project costs and confirm that all of the hard costs have been accounted for in the calculations. Revise the calculator as needed.

2. The Centria Metal Panel Type 1 and Type 3 document states that the recycled content came from the Steel Recycling Institute rather than specific information for the installed project as noted in LEED Interpretation 10246. If no data is available for a steel product, the project team may use the 25% post-consumer LEED default. Provide additional manufacturer documentation specific to the LEED project and/or revise the calculator as appropriate.

MRc5: Regional Materials

Awarded: 2

POSSIBLE POINTS: 2

ATTEMPTED: 2, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 2

05/14/2018 **CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW**

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance.

03/10/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that 21.89% of the total building materials value includes materials and products that have been manufactured and extracted within 500 miles of the project site. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. Plf2: Project Summary Details states that the project budget is \$24,000,000 but the hard costs for CSI MasterFormat 2004 Divisions 3-10, 31.60.00, 32.10.00, 32.30.00, and 32.90.00 shown in the calculator provided is \$6,995,738 resulting in a total materials cost for the LEED project of 3,148,082.10 or 13% of the project budget. These costs appear low compared to the project budget. Provide a narrative explaining the project costs and confirm that all of the hard costs have been accounted for in the calculations. Revise the calculator as needed.

MRc6: Rapidly Renewable Materials
POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

**Not
Attempted**

MRc7: Certified Wood

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

05/14/2018 CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance and states that 63.4% of the total wood-based building materials are certified in accordance with the principles and criteria of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC).

03/10/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that 64.85% of the total wood-based building materials are certified in accordance with the principles and criteria of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. MRc4: Recycled Content and MRc5: Regional Materials have not been awarded due to issues with the reported Total Materials Cost. After addressing the issues within MRc4 and MRc5, ensure that all new (virgin) wood is included in the Total New Wood Materials Cost for this credit. Revise the calculation and provide additional invoices if necessary.
2. The invoice provided for the Marshfield Door Systems states that the flush wood doors are FSC Mix 81%, but 100% has been entered in the calculator for the Percent New Wood that is FSC Certified. Revise the calculator with the correct FSC percentage for the product.



Indoor Environmental Quality

IEQp1: Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance

Awarded

12/08/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance.

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project is mechanically ventilated and that the ventilation system has met the minimum requirements of ASHRAE 62.1-2007. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. The total area of 36,173 square feet documented for this prerequisite varies substantially from the total gross area of 52,688 square feet reported in Pf2: Project Summary Details. It is unclear whether all occupiable space (as defined by ASHRAE 62.1-2007) has been accounted for within the ventilation rate procedure calculations. Although some of the difference can be attributed to non-occupiable spaces (e.g., mechanical rooms, inactive stairwells, shafts, and gross versus net area) and space types that are only required to meet the exhaust requirements of Table 6-4 (e.g., restrooms, kitchens) a justification for any difference in excess of roughly 10% must be provided. All occupiable spaces (which can include regularly occupied, non-regularly occupied, and unconditioned areas) must be provided with ventilation that meets the minimum requirements in accordance with ASHRAE 62.1-2007. Update the Ventilation Rate Procedure calculations to include all occupiable spaces and ensure that the area is reported consistently among all credits. If the difference in area is greater than 10%, provide a detailed narrative that describes the approximate area breakdown of the excluded spaces by space type to confirm that all occupiable spaces have been included in the calculations.

The Project Team is provided with the following educational comment for future projects:

2. The ventilation distribution design includes ducting outside air from dedicated outdoor air units to VRV fan coil units. Note that any system which provides a distinct mix of recirculated air and ventilation air to one or more breathing zones is considered a ventilation system, and separate VRP calculations should be provided for each ventilation system. If the outside air is received from an upstream 100% outside air system, separate VRP calculations do not need to be provided for the 100% outside air system; the outside air intake requirement for any 100% outside air system which only serves other ventilation systems (i.e. sub-systems for ventilation purposes) is simply the algebraic sum of the sub-system corrected outside air intake requirements (sum of the sub-system V_{ot} values). If a 100% outside air system also provides ventilation air directly to one or more breathing zones, then separate VRP calculations must be provided for that 100% outside air system. The total outside air intake rate for any such system would be the algebraic sum of the individual breathing zone ventilation requirements (V_{ou}) and the V_{ot} rates of any sub-systems, as determined by separate VRP calculations for those sub-systems. The submittal documentation must clearly indicate which zones or sub-systems are served by each 100% outside air system. In the case of this project, which utilizes single-zone sub-systems, the provided VRP results are mathematically equal to individual single-zone calculations. However, for future projects, ensure the VRP calculations are itemized by ventilation system, which is any system that provides a distinct mix of recirculated air and ventilation air to one or more breathing zones.

IEQp2: Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control

Awarded

09/20/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that smoking is prohibited on the project site. Additionally, smoking is prohibited within the building.

IEQc1: Outdoor Air Delivery Monitoring

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IEQc2: Increased Ventilation

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IEQc3.1: Construction IAQ Management Plan-During Construction

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project reduces air quality problems resulting from construction to promote the comfort and well-being of construction workers and building occupants.

IEQc3.2: Construction IAQ Management Plan-Before Occupancy **Awarded: 1**

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

03/04/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that an Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) Management Plan was developed and implemented and that the project complies with Option 2: IAQ Testing.

IEQc4.1: Low-Emitting Materials-Adhesives and Sealants **Awarded: 1**

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that all adhesive and sealant products used on the inside of the weatherproofing system and applied on-site have been included in the tables and comply with the VOC limits of the referenced standards for this credit.

IEQc4.2: Low-Emitting Materials-Paints and Coatings **Awarded: 1**

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that all paint and coating products used on the inside of the weatherproofing system and applied on-site have been included in the tables and comply with the VOC limits of the referenced standards for this credit.

IEQc4.3: Low-Emitting Materials-Flooring Systems **Awarded: 1**

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that all interior flooring materials meet or exceed applicable criteria for the Carpet and Rug Institute, South Coast Air Quality Management District, the California Department of Health Standard, or FloorScore; the carpet adhesives used have a VOC level of less than 50 g/L; all floor finishes meet the requirements of SCAQMD Rule 1113; and all tile setting adhesives and grout meet SCAQMD Rule 1168.

IEQc4.4: Low-Emitting Materials-Composite Wood and Agrifiber Products **Awarded: 1**

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

05/15/2018 CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW

The additional documentation demonstrates compliance.

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that all composite wood and agrifiber products used on the interior of the building and all laminating adhesives used to fabricate on-site and shop-applied composite wood and agrifiber assemblies contain no added urea-formaldehyde resins. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. Laminating adhesives have not been included in the table. Revise the form to include all laminating adhesives used to fabricate on-site and shop-applied composite wood and agrifiber assemblies. Provide additional manufacturer documentation and a narrative if necessary.

2. The websites for the RPG Modfusors and Tafipan Evolo indicates a low level of formaldehyde but does not state that there is no added urea-formaldehyde. Provide documentation from the manufacturer highlighting the non-urea formaldehyde resin or binder that is present and/or confirming that the material contains no added urea-formaldehyde.

Alternatively, if the product uses melamine urea formaldehyde (MUF), as described in LEED Interpretation 10250, and is compliant with the California Air Resource Board (CARB) Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) 93120 requirements for no-added formaldehyde (NAF) based resins or the requirements for ultra-low-emitting formaldehyde resins (ULEF),

provide manufacturer documentation demonstrating that the product uses MUF as a binder and is compliant with CARB NAF or CARB ULEF.

IEQc5: Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control
POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IEQc6.1: Controllability of Systems-Lighting

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that lighting controls are provided for 91.53% of building occupants and 100% of shared multi-occupant spaces to enable adjustments that meet needs and preferences.

IEQc6.2: Controllability of Systems-Thermal Comfort

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

12/08/2016 DESIGN FINAL REVIEW

A response narrative has been provided clarifying the individual workstation counts and quantity of individual thermal comfort controls. The project has provided thermal comfort controls for 50% of individual workstations and 100% of multi-occupant spaces. The additional documentation demonstrates compliance.

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that thermal controls are provided for 50% of building occupants and 100% of shared multi-occupant spaces to enable adjustments that meet needs and preferences. However, to demonstrate compliance, the following must be addressed.

TECHNICAL ADVICE

1. It is unclear how the seven thermal comfort controls reported in Table IEQc6.2-1 for Graduate Offices were determined. The lighting plans provided under IEQc6.1: Controllability of Systems Lighting indicate the open plan workstations are in 202 Masters Office (second floor), 315 GA Office (third floor), and 405 GA Office (fourth floor). Combined, these spaces include 39 of the 118 individual workstations, and three space temperature controls (thermostats). When these quantities are included with the private office, audio/visual, workshop, and reception station quantities in Table IEQc6.2-1, it appears the project has provided thermal comfort controls for 46.7% of the individual workstations. Clarify the method by which the values reported in Table IEQc6.2-1 were determined. Provide drawings demonstrating that at least 50% of the occupants are provided with individual thermal controls. Note that separate VRV fan coil units which share a thermostat cannot be counted as separate thermal comfort controls; the control is limited by the thermostat quantity. Additionally, the quantity of individual workstations and multi-occupant spaces must be reported consistently across all submittals, including IEQc6.1.

IEQc7.1: Thermal Comfort-Design

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the mechanically ventilated and mechanically conditioned project space is in compliance with ASHRAE 55-2004.

IEQc7.2: Thermal Comfort-Verification

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that a permanent monitoring system will be installed and a thermal comfort survey of building occupants will be conducted between six and 18 months after occupancy.

IEQc8.1: Daylight and Views-Daylight
POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IEQc8.2: Daylight and Views-Views

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

09/27/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project has provided direct line of sight views from 91.29% of all regularly occupied spaces.



Innovation in Design

IDc1.1: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

09/15/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project team has developed and implemented an ID credit proposal in compliance with LEED Interpretation 5500. The project has an average mercury content in picograms per lumen hour of 24.41 for lamps, which is less than 80 as required. The calculation and cut sheets documenting the mercury content in all installed lamps have been provided.

IDc1.1: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IDc1.2: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

09/14/2016 DESIGN PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that the project achieves exemplary performance for SSc5.2: Site Development - Maximize Open Space. The requirement for exemplary performance is to provide open space equal to or greater than two times the footprint of the LEED project building and the project has documented 2.3 times the building footprint.

IDc1.2: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IDc1.3: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IDc1.3: EAc6 Green Power

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

05/13/2018 CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW

This credit was submitted for initial review during the Construction Final Review. The LEED Form states that the project achieves exemplary performance for EAc6: Green Power as specified in the LEED BD+C v2009 Reference Guide.

IDc1.4: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IDc1.4: Green Cleaning Policy/Program

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

Awarded: 1

05/15/2018 CONSTRUCTION FINAL REVIEW

This credit was submitted for initial review during the Construction Final Review.

The LEED Form states that the project team has developed and implemented a Green Housekeeping program. The project must demonstrate compliance with LEED-EBOM 2009 IEQp3: Green Cleaning Policy. The Green Cleaning Policy follows the LEED-EBOM Policy Model and demonstrates the development of a comprehensive and quantitative green cleaning program that includes detailed information regarding staff training, cleaning processes and chemicals, and occupant feedback.

IDc1.5: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IDc1.5: Innovation in Design

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

Not Attempted

IDc2: LEED® Accredited Professional

Awarded: 1

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: 0, PENDING: 0, AWARDED: 1

03/09/2017 CONSTRUCTION PRELIMINARY REVIEW

The LEED Form states that a LEED AP has been a participant on the project development team.



Regional priority

SSc3: Brownfield Redevelopment

POSSIBLE POINTS: 1

ATTEMPTED: 1, DENIED: , PENDING: , AWARDED: 1

TOTAL

107

62

1

0

62

REVIEW SUMMARY

Review			POINTS:			
	SUBMITTED	RETURNED	SUBMITTED	DENIED	PENDING	AWARDED
Design Preliminary	08/04/2016	09/28/2016	35	0	8	27

Credit	STATUS	TYPE	POINTS: ATTEMPTED	DENIED	PENDING	AWARDED
PIf1: Minimum Program Requirements	Approved		0	0	0	0
PIf2: Project Summary Details	Not Approved		0	0	0	0
PIf3: Occupant and Usage Data	Approved		0	0	0	0
PIf4: Schedule and Overview Documents	Approved		0	0	0	0
SSc1: Site Selection	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
SSc2: Development Density and Community Connectivity	Anticipated	Design	5	0	0	5
SSc3: Brownfield Redevelopment	Anticipated	Design	2	0	0	2
SSc4.1: Alternative Transportation-Public Transportation Access	Anticipated	Design	6	0	0	6
SSc4.4: Alternative Transportation-Parking Capacity	Anticipated	Design	2	0	0	2
SSc5.2: Site Development-Maximize Open Space	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
SSc7.2: Heat Island Effect-Roof	Pending	Design	1	0	1	0
WEp1: Water Use Reduction-20% Reduction	Anticipated	Design	0	0	0	0
WEc3: Water Use Reduction	Anticipated	Design	4	0	0	4
EAp2: Minimum Energy Performance	Pending	Design	0	0	0	0
EAp3: Fundamental Refrigerant Management	Anticipated	Design	0	0	0	0
EAc1: Optimize Energy Performance	Pending	Design	6	0	6	0
MRp1: Storage and Collection of Recyclables	Anticipated	Design	0	0	0	0
IEQp1: Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance	Pending	Design	0	0	0	0
IEQp2: Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	Anticipated	Design	0	0	0	0
IEQc6.1: Controllability of Systems-Lighting	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
IEQc6.2: Controllability of Systems-Thermal Comfort	Pending	Design	1	0	1	0
IEQc7.1: Thermal Comfort-Design	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
IEQc7.2: Thermal Comfort-Verification	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
IEQc8.2: Daylight and Views-Views	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
IDc1.1: Innovation in Design: Reduced Mercury in Lamps	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
IDc1.2: Innovation in Design	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1

Design Final**11/29/2016 12/23/2016****9****0****0****9****Credit**

	STATUS	TYPE	POINTS: ATTEMPTED	DENIED	PENDING	AWARDED
PIf2: Project Summary Details	Approved		0	0	0	0
PIf4: Schedule and Overview Documents	Approved		0	0	0	0
SSc7.2: Heat Island Effect-Roof	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
EAp2: Minimum Energy Performance	Anticipated	Design	0	0	0	0
EAc1: Optimize Energy Performance	Anticipated	Design	6	0	0	6
IEQp1: Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance	Anticipated	Design	0	0	0	0
IEQc6.2: Controllability of Systems-Thermal Comfort	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1
IEQc7.2: Thermal Comfort-Verification	Anticipated	Design	1	0	0	1

Construction Preliminary**02/20/2017****03/13/2017****21****0****6****15**

Credit	STATUS	TYPE	POINTS: ATTEMPTED	DENIED	PENDING	AWARDED
SSp1: Construction Activity Pollution Prevention	Awarded	Construction	0	0	0	0
SSc7.1: Heat Island Effect, Non-Roof	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
EAp1: Fundamental Commissioning of the Building Energy Systems	Awarded	Construction	0	0	0	0
EAc3: Enhanced Commissioning	Awarded	Construction	2	0	0	2
EAc5: Measurement and Verification	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
MRC1.1: Building Reuse-Maintain Existing Walls, Floors and Roof	Awarded	Construction	3	0	0	3
MRC2: Construction Waste Management	Awarded	Construction	2	0	0	2
MRC4: Recycled Content	Pending	Construction	2	0	2	0
MRC5: Regional Materials	Pending	Construction	2	0	2	0
MRC7: Certified Wood	Pending	Construction	1	0	1	0
IEQc3.1: Construction IAQ Management Plan-During Construction	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IEQc3.2: Construction IAQ Management Plan-Before Occupancy	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IEQc4.1: Low-Emitting Materials-Adhesives and Sealants	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IEQc4.2: Low-Emitting Materials-Paints and Coatings	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IEQc4.3: Low-Emitting Materials-Flooring Systems	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IEQc4.4: Low-Emitting Materials-Composite Wood and Agrifiber Products	Pending	Construction	1	0	1	0
IDc2: LEED® Accredited Professional	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1

Construction Final**05/03/2018****05/17/2018****13****1****0****13****Credit**

	STATUS	TYPE	POINTS: ATTEMPTED	DENIED	PENDING	AWARDED
EAc5: Measurement and Verification	Awarded	Construction	3	1	0	3
EAc6: Green Power	Awarded	Construction	2	0	0	2
MRC4: Recycled Content	Awarded	Construction	2	0	0	2
MRC5: Regional Materials	Awarded	Construction	2	0	0	2
MRC7: Certified Wood	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IEQc4.4: Low-Emitting Materials-Composite Wood and Agrifiber Products	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IDc1.3: EAc6 Green Power	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1
IDc1.4: Green Cleaning Policy/Program	Awarded	Construction	1	0	0	1