


INSTITUTE FOR
CHILD &
FAMILY
POLICY
AT BGSU

*Lucas County Board of Mental
Retardation and Developmental
Disabilities Current and Projected
Resource Utilization Project*

Phase 1 Report



Prepared by:

Dr. Erin Curran

Assistant Professor, Leadership & Policy Studies

Dr. Mary Murray

Assistant Professor, School of Intervention Services

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Data Analysis Procedures	3
Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distribution Tables: IIF Items	6
Cross Tabulation Tables for IIF Items: by Age Group	8
Cross Tabulation Tables for IIF Items: by Initial Enrollment Date	10
Cross Tabulation Tables for IIF Items: by Specific Geographic Area	11
Appendix A: Select IIF Items	15
Appendix B: Select IIF Items by Age Groupings	33
Appendix C: Select IIF Items by Enrollment Date	45
Appendix D: Select IIF Items by Geographic Area	55
Appendix E: Select Missing Data Table: IIF Items with > 10.0% Missing	64

**Demographic Profile Analysis
Phase I of RELM Project
October 2007**

The presentation of the Demographic Profile Analysis portion of the RELM project, conducted for the Lucas County Board of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities (Appendices A - E, pp. 15-64) is divided into the following sections: descriptive statistics and frequency distribution tables for Individual Information Form (IIF) items, crosstabulation tables for IIF items by age group, crosstabulation tables for IIF items by initial enrollment year (2004 - 2007), descriptive statistics and crosstabulations for specific geographic areas, and a missing data summary table. A brief discussion of the analysis procedures and of the statistical results for each section is provided below.

Data Analysis Procedures

Specific variables from the IIF database were requested by the RELM researchers for the purpose of developing a detailed demographic profile of active consumers of services provided by the LCBMRDD. The IIF database is maintained by personnel from the Lucas County Board of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities (LCBMRDD); the data was extracted by LCBMRDD personnel from the IIF database in July 2007 and forwarded to the RELM researchers in the form of an Excel spreadsheet. The 40 requested variables that are discussed in this report are identified and defined as follows:

1. *Age*: years of age
 - a. Age groupings: 0-2, 3-5, 6-11, 12-16, 17-22, 23-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79 and 80+
2. *Race*: race category
3. *Living arrangement*: current residential situation
4. *County eligible*: whether active consumer is eligible to receive county services
5. *Initial enroll date*: year active consumer initially enrolled in any county board service or program in any county in Ohio.

6. *Active status year*: year active consumer became active in LCBMR/DD.
7. *Program enroll year*: year active consumer enrolled in the county board program.
8. *Current mailing city*
9. *Current mailing state*
10. *Current zip code*
11. *School district*: current school district of residence
12. *Primary diagnosis*: unspecified mental retardation, cerebral palsy, down syndrome, hydrocephalus- congenital, etc.
13. *Primary condition*: etiology of the individual's developmental disabilities based on written diagnoses from licensed professionals.
14. *Secondary condition*: secondary etiology condition
15. *Developmental delay*: number of developmental delays
16. *At risk*: type of risk-
17. *Mental retardation level*: none, mild, moderate, severe or profound
18. *Vision status*: no vision problem, functional after correction, visually impaired, legally blind or under evaluation
19. *Hearing status*: no hearing loss, functional after correction, hearing impaired, complete loss or under evaluation
20. *Communication status*: no functional communication, functional with speech, or functional with other modes
21. *Ambulation status*: walks independently, walks with device/physical assist, or uses wheelchair
22. *Facility number*: ODMR/DD Residential Facility number found in the current issue of the Index of Residential Facilities, dated August 2004.
23. *Facility name*: the name of the facility when the individual lives in a licensed facility that is not licensed by ODMR/DD.
24. *Board program*: program in which the individual was enrolled during the first week of October.
25. *Family resources*: no or yes
26. *Other service provided – 1*: type of other service involvement (outside of LCBMRDD)

27. *Other service provided – 2*: type of other service involvement (outside of LCBMRDD)
28. *Other school program*: type of school program in which currently participating
29. *Enclave location* (Individual Placement, Enclave, and the Mobile Work Crew Model): facilitating competitive work in integrated work settings for individuals with severe disabilities.
30. *Hill participant*: no or yes
31. *Holland participant*: no or yes
32. *Telegraph participant*: no or yes
33. *Larc lane participant*: no or yes
34. *Vocational services*: no or yes
35. *Behavior plan*: no or yes
36. *Behavior specialist*: no or yes
37. *Vocational specialist*: no or yes
38. *Service and support specialist (SASS)*: no or yes
39. *EI specialist*: no or yes
40. *Transition specialist*: no or yes
41. *Habituation specialist*: no or yes

Most variables within the IIF Excel spreadsheet were string variables (i.e., in text form) and were converted to numeric form for analysis in SPSS 14.0. This conversion of the data allowed RELM researchers to succinctly summarize the data by producing averages (when appropriate), frequency counts, and percentages of response for each IIF item. Additionally, an age variable, called *age groupings*, was created from the original age variable; the *age groupings* variable separates active consumers into 12 age categories: 0-2, 3-5, 6-11, 12-16, 17-22, 23-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79, and 80+. In the reporting of statistics (e.g., frequencies and percentages) within this document, all numbers have been rounded to the tenth decimal place.

Whenever data are analyzed, the researcher must decide what to do when there is no data provided for a particular case on a particular item. Such situations may result when an individual fails to respond to an item on a survey or form, when the data on the item was not collected, or when the individual inputting the data unintentionally skips the

item. For the purpose of statistical analysis, such cases are called “missing” data points. Of great importance in reading and interpreting the frequency distribution tables in this report is noting the number and percentage of missing data points for each IIF item (indicated at the bottom of each frequency distribution table). In this report, valid percents (rather than percentages; see frequency tables) are reported due to the fact that valid percentages do not take into account the number of missing data points; rather, they provide a numerical index indicating the proportion of individuals for whom data was actually recorded while ignoring the proportion of missing data. It should be noted that in general, an item where greater than 10.0% of cases are missing data is of concern for the purposes of statistical analyses and the reader/interpreter of the statistical output must be cautious in interpreting such results. Moreover, a missing data summary table that identifies all IIF items with greater than 10.0% missing data, in ascending order, is provided in Appendix E of this report.

Descriptive Statistics and Frequency Distribution Tables: IIF Items

The tables in Appendix A (pp. 15-32) show the reporting of select Individual Information Form Items for currently active consumers of services provided by the Lucas County Board of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities. Several of these items may be classified as demographic items for the 2,861 active consumers in the IIF database including age, gender, race, and living situation. The other IIF items within Appendix A serve to classify active consumers, in general, according to functional status and services in which they are currently participating. Descriptive statistics (e.g., the mean and standard deviation), where appropriate, and frequencies and percentages were produced for each of the requested IIF items, as well as the *age grouping* variable. Although not every statistical finding in each table will be discussed in this report, the most noteworthy findings will be examined.

Demographic Items: Age, Age Groupings, Gender, Race and Living Arrangement. Of the 2,861 active consumers, ages were recorded for 2,857 (99.9%) individuals. Recorded *ages* ranged from 0-86, with a mean age of 27.9 (SD=20.0). Ages were not recorded for 4 (.1%) active consumers. Age is further grouped into 12 distinct categories. The three largest age categories consist of 40-49 (432, 15.1%), 0-2 (427, 14.9%), and 30-

39 (389, 13.6%) (p. 10). The three smallest age categories consist of 80+ (8, .3%), 70-79 (38, 1.3%), and 60-69 (115, 4.0%). There are a great deal more male (1,737, 60.7%) than female (1,124, 39.3%) active consumers currently being served by the LCBMRDD, with all individuals classified by *gender* (p. 13). A majority of the active consumers are identified as Caucasian (1,646, 61.9%), with African Americans (595, 22.4%), Unknown (239, 9.0%), Hispanic Americans (104, 3.9%), Other (54, 2.0%), and Asian/Pacific Islanders (22, .8%) also indicated. Only 7.0% (201) of individuals in the IIF database did not have a race classification (p. 14). A vast majority of the active consumers are reported to reside with family (1,590, 60.4%). The other 1,044 individuals for whom the living arrangement is recorded reside in either their own home (424, 16.1%), a licensed facility (417, 15.8%), foster care (101, 3.8%), a nursing facility (51, 1.9%), or other (51, 1.9%); nearly 8.0% (227, 7.9%) of active consumers did not have data recorded on this variable (p. 14).

General IIF Items: Two variables identified active consumers by living location: *zip code* and *school district*. Two zip codes represented the largest number of clients served in Lucas County: 43614 (384, 13.4%) and 43615 (320, 11.2%). These zip codes are followed by 43607 (194, 6.8%) and 43605 (183, 6.4%). It should be noted that 20 zip codes had 9 digit codes while 29 zip codes had 5 digit codes (p.16), and some of these zip codes may overlap. The highest representation of active consumers across school districts was Toledo (1,373, 75.9.0%), followed by Washington (102, 5.6%), and Sylvania (84, 4.6%) (p. 20). There were (1,051, 36.7%) missing data points for this variable (p. 20).

Active consumers were also identified by diagnostic variables including: *primary diagnosis*, *primary condition*, *mental retardation level*, and *vision*, *hearing*, *speech* and *ambulatory status*. *Primary diagnosis* information yielded 2,127 or 99.9% in the unspecified mental retardation category (p. 20). The next highest prevalence of *primary conditions* after unknown was the category other (327, 12.4%) with the highest frequency, followed by epilepsy (217, 8.2%), Down syndrome (183, 6.9%), cerebral palsy (148, 5.6%), and autism (139, 5.3%). It should be noted that nearly half of the cases (1,210, 45.8%) were reported as “unknown” for primary condition (p. 21). *The mental retardation level*, again, had a substantial proportion of the cases (876, 30.6%) identified as missing. Those individuals reported with a *mild level of retardation* were 701 or

35.3%, *moderate* were 677 or 34.1%, *severe* were 226 or 11.4%, and *profound* were 173 or 8.7%. Individuals reported with no mental retardation were 208 or 10.5% (p. 20). A majority of active consumers were reported to have no *vision* problem (1,735, 64.4%), no *hearing* loss (2,427, 89.7%), be *functional with speech* (2,165, 80.3%) and *walking independently* (2,129, 89.9%) (pp. 23-24).

Active consumers are involved in a variety of programs offered by the LCBMRDD, including *early intervention*, *sheltered workshops*, and *community employment*. *Early intervention* enrollment totaled 578 individuals, or 27.7% of active consumers; nearly 40% of active consumers (794, 38.1%) were reportedly involved in a *sheltered workshop*; and over 13% are involved in *community employment* (287, 13.8%) (p. 26). Active consumers also used a variety of services offered by the LCBMRDD, including behavior plans and myriad specialists. The most frequent type of specialist used was a *SASS*; the least frequent type of specialist used was a *transition specialist*. In the area of *behavior plans*, 375 individuals, 13.2% of active consumers have a behavior plan and 374, or 13.1% of consumers utilize a *behavior specialist*. *Vocational specialists* are utilized by 273 individuals, or 9.5% of active consumers. Furthermore, 1,890 individuals (66.1%) reported utilizing a *service and support specialist (SASS)*. *Early intervention specialists* were utilized by 563 individuals (19.7% of the enrollment). *Habilitation specialists* are reported to be involved with 32.6% (933 individuals) of active consumers. No active consumers were reported to utilize a transition specialist (pp. 29-30).

Cross Tabulation Tables for IIF Items: by Age Group

Cross tabulation tables for select IIF items were produced by age group (see Appendix B, pp. 33-44). Cross tabulation tables within the context of this section of the report allow readers to examine differences in demographics, service use, and functional ability by each of the 12 previously identified age groups. Frequency counts and percentages for the IIF item (e.g., gender) within each age group (e.g., 0-2) are provided within each table. As a result, one can identify whether categorical groupings of each IIF item (e.g., gender: male and female) are similar or different across different age groups. *For example, there may be substantially more males than females in the 0-2 age group,*

but substantially more females than males in the 3-5 age group. If substantial differences are identified on variables of interest or importance, possible reasons for those differences should be considered. When trends across groups are stable, there is generally little cause for further investigation.

The first cross tabulations table concerns differences in living arrangement by age group (p. 31). The participant *age grouping categories* (0-2, 3-5, 6-11, 12-16, 17-22, 23-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79, and 80+) are listed down the left-hand side of the cross tabulations table. The *living arrangement* options (living with family, living in home, licensed facility, nursing facility, other, and foster care) are listed across the top of the table. Within each cell, two numbers are provided: the count and percentage of that age group (identified by the row) who live in that particular arrangement. For *age group by living arrangement*, within the cross tabulations section (see p. 33, Appendix B), in the first cell we see that 297 0-2 year olds (87.9% of 0-2 year olds), which makes up 11.3% of consumers overall, live with family. By contrast, no 0-2 year olds (.0% of 0-2 year olds) live in a licensed facility.

In the “Total” column on the far, right-hand side of the table, the total frequency and percentage of each age group for whom living arrangement information is provided. For example, it is indicated that for 338 0-2 year olds, *living arrangements* were recorded on the IIF form and these individuals account for 12.8% of the overall population for whom living arrangement information was recorded. At the bottom of each cross tabulations table, there is also a row entitled “Total.” This row provides the total frequency and percentage of consumers within each column. It is indicated that 1,588 (60.4% of active consumers) *live with their families*, 16.1% (423) *live in their own homes*, 15.8% (417) *live in licensed facilities*, 1.9% (51) *live in nursing facilities*, 3.8% (101) *live in foster care* and 1.9% (51) *live in other facilities* (p. 31).

In the category *county eligible by age grouping* for birth through age two, all recorded enrollees (426, 99.8%) are board eligible. In the 3-5 age range, 193 children or 92.3% of the enrollees in this age group are board eligible, as are 91 or 61.1% of 6-11 year olds, 42 or 30.0% of 12-16 year olds, 123 or 41.1% of 17-22 year olds, 83 or 23.5% of 23-29 year olds, 103 or 26.5% of 30-39 year olds, 146 or 33.8% of 40-49 year olds,

104 or 33.3% of 50-59 year old active consumers, 27 or 23.5% of 60-69 year olds, 6 or 15.8% of 70-79 year old active consumers and 0 individuals in the 80+ age range (p. 32).

For the category *mental retardation level by age grouping*, the following information may be noteworthy: for the age category 17-22, 42.1% of the individuals (or 104 active consumers) were classified as having a *mild mental retardation level*, and 29.6% (or 73 active consumers) a *moderate mental retardation level*. In the 23-29 age category, 36.5% of individuals (or 112 active consumers) were classified as having a *mild mental retardation level*, while 128 (41.7% of 23-29 year olds) were identified with *moderate mental retardation*. In the 30-39 age category, 144 (or 38.0%) of individuals in the age category were classified as having *mild mental retardation* and 135 (or 35.6% of 30-39 year olds) were classified with *moderate mental retardation*. In the 40-49 age category, 29.9% of active consumers in the age group (or 125) were classified as having a *mild mental retardation level* and 40.4% (or 169 of 40-49 year olds) were reported as possessing *moderate mental retardation*. In the 50-59 age category, 101 individuals (32.9% of active consumers in the age group) were classified as having a *mild mental retardation level* and 108 (or 35.2%) a *moderate mental retardation level*. In the 60-69 age category, 45 active consumers (or 41.3% of individuals in the age group) of the individuals reported were classified as having a *mild mental retardation level* and 30 60-69 year olds, or 27.5.0%, were identified as possessing *moderate mental retardation* (p. 35).

Cross Tabulation Tables for IIF Items: by Initial Enrollment Date

Cross tabulation tables for select IIF items were produced by Initial Enrollment Date (see Appendix C, pp. 45-54). Cross tabulation tables within the context of this section of the report allow readers to examine differences in demographics, service use, and functional ability by the 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 initial enrollment years.

Frequency counts and percentages for the IIF item (e.g., gender, county board eligible) within each of the identified initial enrollment dates of services are provided within each table. As a result, one can identify whether categorical groupings of each IIF item are similar or different across the four initial enrollment years. *For example, there may be substantially more individuals living in a licensed facility in 2004 than in 2007.* If

substantial differences are identified on variables of interest or importance, possible reasons for those differences should be considered. When trends across groups are stable, there is generally little cause for further investigation.

Enrollment date cross tabulated with select IIF items. The *At Risk* classification saw a dramatic increase in frequency and proportion of individuals classified as *medical-at risk* from 2004-2006 with 4 individuals (9.3%) in 2004 and 78 individuals (83.9%) in 2006 (p. 44). Enrollment within facilities remained steady over the 2004-2007 reported years for each of the facilities reported (e.g. Bittersweet, Northwest, NODC, etc.) (p. 42). Board Program enrollment remained steady over the four reported years with the exception of *Early Intervention*. *Early Intervention* saw an increase in their proportion of new enrollments between 2004 and 2006: 34 new enrollments (or 50.0% of total new enrollments) in 2004 and 251 new enrollments (or 96.9% of total new enrollments) in 2006 (p. 46). The proportion of individuals utilizing *family resources* decreased from 2004 (34, 24.8%) to 2006 (56, 16.4%) (p. 47). Individuals requiring a behavior plan remained steady over the years indicated, yet the proportion of individuals requiring a behavior specialist decreased from 2004 (9, 6.6% of total enrollments) to 2006 (1, .3% of total enrollments) (p. 50). The number of individuals requiring a vocational specialist has decreased from 2004 (7, .5.1%) to 2006 (1, .3%) (p. 50). The number of individuals requiring a SASS decreased dramatically from 2004 (92, 67.2%) to 2006 (61, 17.8%) (p. 51). Conversely, the proportion of active consumers reported to utilize an *early intervention specialist* increased from 2004 (19, 13.9%) to 2006 (250, 73.1%) (p. 51). Utilization of a *habilitation specialist* decreased by almost 14% from 2004 (21, 15.3%) to 2006 (5, 1.5%) (p. 49). Interestingly, no one was reported to utilize a *transition specialist* between 2004-2007 (p. 52).

Descriptive Statistics and Cross Tabulation Tables for Specific Geographic Areas: Oregon/Curtice, Sylvania/Berkey, and Maumee/Monclova/Whitehouse/Waterville/Swanton/Neapolis

To examine select IIF variables as they relate to three specific geographic areas, including Oregon/Curtice (including zip codes 43605, 43616, 43618, and 43412), Sylvania/Berkey (including zip codes 43560, 43617, 43623, 43528, and 43504), and

Maumee/Monclova/Whitehouse/ Waterville/Swanton/Neapolis (including zip codes 43537, 43542, 43571, 43566, 43558 and 43547), two variables within the SPSS data set were transformed. First, the *current zip code* variable that originally provided a current zip code for each active consumer was divided into four categories: three categories for the zip codes related to each geographic area specified above (categories called “Oregon/Curtice”, “Sylvania/Berkey”, and “Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo” within the data set) and one category for all other zip codes (called “missing” within the data set). As a result, active consumers could be classified as belonging to one of four categories of residence. It is important to note that consumers classified as “missing” within this variable are not necessarily missing in terms of zip code; instead, these individuals may be missing or, most likely, do not live in one of the zip codes included in this set of analyses.

Second, because it was desirable to remove individuals living at an ICFMR from subsequent analyses, the *facility number* variable was transformed into a new variable that allowed active consumers to be classified as living at an ICFMR or by another arrangement. This allowed the identification of 87 active consumers (3.1% of active consumers) living at ICFMRs (including Anne Grady, Eber Comm Res, Everwood, Bittersweet, McDonagh Home, Josina Lott, Northcoast, NODC, Sunshine Inc., Sunshine Garden, Sunshine Kit, Sunshine Vander, Sunshine King, Sunshine Ragan, Sunshine Strayer, Sunshine Bancroft, Zeigler Collingwood, and Gene Scott) who were excluded from subsequent analyses related to the three geographic areas of interest (p. 53) . Of the 2,774 active consumers who do not reside at an ICFMR, 286 (10.3%) reside in Oregon/Curtice, 309 (11.1%) reside in Sylvania/Berkey, and 194 (7.0%) reside in Maumee/Monclova/Whitehouse/ Waterville/ Swanton/Neapolis (p. 53). Almost 2,000 active consumers (1,985, 71.6%) are classified as missing (p. 53), meaning that either they reside outside of the identified geographic areas or no zip code information was provided. In sum, only individuals living in one of the geographic areas of interest, not living in an ICFMR, are included in analyses within this section.

Cross tabulation tables for select IIF items were produced by Geographic Area (see, pp. 55-63). Cross tabulation tables within the context of this section of the report allow readers to examine differences in age grouping, mental retardation level, behavior

plan, behavior specialist, and primary condition by geographic area including Oregon/Curtice, Sylvania/Berkey, and Maumee/Monclova/Whitehouse/Swanton/Neapolis. Individuals living in ICFMRs were excluded from these analyses. Frequency counts and percentages for the IIF item (e.g., age grouping, mental retardation level) within each of the identified geographic areas are provided within each table. As a result, one can identify whether categorical groupings of each IIF item are similar or different across the three geographic areas.

Geographic area cross tabulated with select IIF items. Nearly every active consumer across the three geographic areas was classified by *age grouping*; 285 out of 286 individuals from geographic area one (Oregon/Curtice) and 193 out of 194 individuals from geographic area three (Maumee/Monclova/Whitehouse/Waterville/Swanton/Neapolis) were classified by age. Percentages of active consumers across age groups are remarkably similar for the three geographic areas. In general, 25.0 percent of active consumers across all three geographic areas are between ages 0 – 5. Geographic area one holds the highest percentage of active consumers between ages 6 – 22 (83, 29.2%) and geographic area three holds the lowest (35, 18.0%). Another 25.6% (area one) to 32.1% (area three) of consumers range in age from 23 – 39. Finally, almost a quarter of consumers across the three geographic areas (19.0% area one, 23.3% area two, and 23.8% area three) range in age from 40 – 80+. For *mental retardation level*, the proportion of active consumers classified as having mild, moderate, severe, profound or no mental retardation are highly consistent across geographic regions (p. 54). However, more than two times the percentage of individuals (11.3%) in geographic area three are identified as having a *behavior plan* than in geographic areas one (5.3%) and two (5.5%) (p. 54). Similarly, roughly two times the percentage of active consumers in geographic area three (8.8%) are identified as having a *behavior specialist* than in geographic area one (4.5%) and geographic area two (5.8%) (p. 55). The final variable examined with respect to geographic area was *primary condition* (pp. 56-61). Between 38.9% (geographic area three) and 43.4% (geographic area one) of active consumers are classified as “unknown” relative to their primary condition. Of interest is that nearly two times the percentage of individuals (10.0%) in geographic area two are identified with Autism as the primary condition as in geographic area one (5.2%) and geographic area

three (6.1%) (p.56). Moreover, 5.6% of active consumers in geographic area one are identified with “other preterm infants” as the primary condition, while this identification is made for 3.6% of active consumers in area two and just 1.1% of consumers in area three.

Appendix A
Select IIF Items

Demographic Tables: Active Consumers

Age

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age	2857	0	86	27.85	19.956
Valid N (listwise)	2857				

Age groupings

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0-2	427	14.9	14.9	14.9
	3-5	209	7.3	7.3	22.3
	6-11	149	5.2	5.2	27.5
	12-16	140	4.9	4.9	32.4
	17-22	299	10.5	10.5	42.8
	23-29	339	11.8	11.9	54.7
	30-39	389	13.6	13.6	68.3
	40-49	432	15.1	15.1	83.4
	50-59	312	10.9	10.9	94.4
	60-69	115	4.0	4.0	98.4
	70-79	38	1.3	1.3	99.7
	80+	8	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	2857	99.9	100.0	
Missing	System	4	.1		
Total		2861	100.0		

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	1737	60.7	60.7	60.7
	Female	1124	39.3	39.3	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Caucasian	1646	57.5	61.9	61.9
	African American	595	20.8	22.4	84.2
	Hispanic	104	3.6	3.9	88.2
	Asian/Pacific Islander	22	.8	.8	89.0
	Other	54	1.9	2.0	91.0
	Unknown	239	8.4	9.0	100.0
	Total	2660	93.0	100.0	
Missing	System	201	7.0		
Total		2861	100.0		

Living arrangement

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Living with family	1590	55.6	60.4	60.4
	Living in home: owned or leased	424	14.8	16.1	76.5
	Licensed facility	417	14.6	15.8	92.3
	Nursing facility	51	1.8	1.9	94.2
	Other	51	1.8	1.9	96.2
	Foster care placement	101	3.5	3.8	100.0
	Total	2634	92.1	100.0	
Missing	System	227	7.9		
Total		2861	100.0		

County eligible

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1513	52.9	52.9	52.9
	Yes	1348	47.1	47.1	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Initial enroll date

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1957	1	.0	.0	.0
	1958	1	.0	.0	.1
	1960	3	.1	.1	.2
	1964	4	.1	.1	.3
	1965	7	.2	.3	.6
	1966	7	.2	.3	.8
	1967	9	.3	.3	1.2
	1968	7	.2	.3	1.4
	1969	5	.2	.2	1.6
	1970	9	.3	.3	1.9
	1971	8	.3	.3	2.2
	1972	26	.9	1.0	3.2
	1973	12	.4	.4	3.6
	1974	28	1.0	1.0	4.7
	1975	21	.7	.8	5.4
	1976	36	1.3	1.3	6.7
	1977	52	1.8	1.9	8.7
	1978	45	1.6	1.6	10.3
	1979	26	.9	1.0	11.3
	1980	53	1.9	1.9	13.2
	1981	42	1.5	1.5	14.7
	1982	38	1.3	1.4	16.1
	1983	39	1.4	1.4	17.6
	1984	36	1.3	1.3	18.9
	1985	70	2.4	2.6	21.4
	1986	77	2.7	2.8	24.3
	1987	49	1.7	1.8	26.1
	1988	81	2.8	3.0	29.0
	1989	84	2.9	3.1	32.1
	1990	42	1.5	1.5	33.7
	1991	28	1.0	1.0	34.7
	1992	51	1.8	1.9	36.5
	1993	62	2.2	2.3	38.8
1994	44	1.5	1.6	40.4	
1995	64	2.2	2.3	42.8	
1996	63	2.2	2.3	45.1	
1997	64	2.2	2.3	47.4	
1998	64	2.2	2.3	49.8	
1999	76	2.7	2.8	52.6	
2000	83	2.9	3.0	55.6	
2001	81	2.8	3.0	58.6	
2002	125	4.4	4.6	63.2	
2003	145	5.1	5.3	68.5	
2004	137	4.8	5.0	73.5	
2005	214	7.5	7.8	81.3	
2006	342	12.0	12.5	93.9	
2007	166	5.8	6.1	100.0	
8200	1	.0	.0	100.0	
Total		2728	95.4	100.0	
Missing	System	133	4.6		
Total		2861	100.0		

Active status year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1965	1	.0	.0	.0
	1967	2	.1	.1	.1
	1969	1	.0	.0	.2
	1971	1	.0	.0	.2
	1974	2	.1	.1	.3
	1976	1	.0	.0	.4
	1978	2	.1	.1	.5
	1980	2	.1	.1	.6
	1981	1	.0	.0	.6
	1983	2	.1	.1	.7
	1984	1	.0	.0	.8
	1985	3	.1	.1	.9
	1986	5	.2	.2	1.2
	1987	2	.1	.1	1.3
	1988	13	.5	.6	1.9
	1989	9	.3	.4	2.3
	1990	4	.1	.2	2.5
	1991	5	.2	.2	2.8
	1992	5	.2	.2	3.0
	1993	6	.2	.3	3.3
	1994	12	.4	.6	3.9
	1995	6	.2	.3	4.2
	1996	9	.3	.4	4.6
	1997	16	.6	.8	5.4
	1998	13	.5	.6	6.0
	1999	26	.9	1.3	7.3
	2000	191	6.7	9.3	16.6
2001	217	7.6	10.5	27.1	
2002	303	10.6	14.7	41.8	
2003	157	5.5	7.6	49.4	
2004	162	5.7	7.9	57.3	
2005	250	8.7	12.1	69.4	
2006	392	13.7	19.0	88.4	
2007	238	8.3	11.6	100.0	
	Total	2060	72.0	100.0	
Missing	System	801	28.0		
Total		2861	100.0		

Program enroll year

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1960	1	.0	.0	.0
	1964	1	.0	.0	.1
	1965	3	.1	.1	.2
	1966	2	.1	.1	.3
	1967	2	.1	.1	.3
	1968	4	.1	.1	.5
	1969	2	.1	.1	.5
	1970	3	.1	.1	.6
	1971	4	.1	.1	.8
	1972	9	.3	.3	1.1
	1973	6	.2	.2	1.3
	1974	11	.4	.4	1.7
	1975	6	.2	.2	1.9
	1976	10	.3	.4	2.3
	1977	15	.5	.5	2.8
	1978	17	.6	.6	3.5
	1979	11	.4	.4	3.9
	1980	21	.7	.8	4.6
	1981	13	.5	.5	5.1
	1982	16	.6	.6	5.7
	1983	19	.7	.7	6.3
	1984	18	.6	.6	7.0
	1985	20	.7	.7	7.7
	1986	41	1.4	1.5	9.2
	1987	26	.9	.9	10.1
	1988	44	1.5	1.6	11.7
	1989	41	1.4	1.5	13.2
	1990	38	1.3	1.4	14.6
	1991	39	1.4	1.4	16.0
	1992	77	2.7	2.8	18.7
	1993	79	2.8	2.8	21.6
	1994	176	6.2	6.3	27.9
	1995	74	2.6	2.7	30.6
1996	65	2.3	2.3	32.9	
1997	68	2.4	2.5	35.4	
1998	100	3.5	3.6	39.0	
1999	107	3.7	3.9	42.9	
2000	110	3.8	4.0	46.8	
2001	114	4.0	4.1	50.9	
2002	157	5.5	5.7	56.6	
2003	130	4.5	4.7	61.3	
2004	184	6.4	6.6	67.9	
2005	250	8.7	9.0	76.9	
2006	397	13.9	14.3	91.2	
2007	243	8.5	8.8	100.0	
	Total	2774	97.0	100.0	
Missing	System	87	3.0		
Total		2861	100.0		

Current Address Information: Active Consumers

Current mailing city

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Toledo	2339	81.8	81.9	81.9
	Oregon	85	3.0	3.0	84.8
	Maumee	116	4.1	4.1	88.9
	Monclova	19	.7	.7	89.6
	Swanton	27	.9	.9	90.5
	Whitehouse	26	.9	.9	91.4
	Waterville	22	.8	.8	92.2
	Sylvania	110	3.8	3.9	96.0
	Curtice	16	.6	.6	96.6
	Holland	72	2.5	2.5	99.1
	Grand Rapids	9	.3	.3	99.4
	Senatobi	1	.0	.0	99.5
	Port Clinton	1	.0	.0	99.5
	Millbury	1	.0	.0	99.5
	Neapolis	3	.1	.1	99.6
	Walbridge	1	.0	.0	99.7
	Harborville	2	.1	.1	99.8
	Berkey	4	.1	.1	99.9
	Fremont	1	.0	.0	99.9
	Lima	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Edgerton	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2857	99.9	100.0	
Missing	System	4	.1		
Total		2861	100.0		

Current mailing state

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ohio	2857	99.9	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	4	.1		
Total		2861	100.0		

Current zip code

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 38668	1	.0	.0	.0
43412	15	.5	.5	.6
43414	1	.0	.0	.6
43420	1	.0	.0	.6
43434	2	.1	.1	.7
43447	1	.0	.0	.7
43452	2	.1	.1	.8
43465	1	.0	.0	.8
43504	4	.1	.1	1.0
43522	9	.3	.3	1.3
43528	71	2.5	2.5	3.8
43537	114	4.0	4.0	7.8
43542	19	.7	.7	8.4
43547	3	.1	.1	8.5
43558	28	1.0	1.0	9.5
43560	109	3.8	3.8	13.3
43566	24	.8	.8	14.2
43571	25	.9	.9	15.0
43602	24	.8	.8	15.9
43604	33	1.2	1.2	17.0
43605	183	6.4	6.4	23.4
43606	141	4.9	4.9	28.4
43607	194	6.8	6.8	35.2
43608	160	5.6	5.6	40.7
43609	182	6.4	6.4	47.1
43610	53	1.9	1.9	49.0
43611	80	2.8	2.8	51.8
43612	169	5.9	5.9	57.7
43613	175	6.1	6.1	63.8
43614	384	13.4	13.4	77.2
43615	320	11.2	11.2	88.4
43616	80	2.8	2.8	91.2
43617	35	1.2	1.2	92.4
43618	6	.2	.2	92.7
43619	2	.1	.1	92.7
43620	58	2.0	2.0	94.8
43623	98	3.4	3.4	98.2
43624	11	.4	.4	98.6
43671	1	.0	.0	98.6
43697	1	.0	.0	98.6
45801	1	.0	.0	98.7
60477	1	.0	.0	98.7
435374034	1	.0	.0	98.7
436053738	1	.0	.0	98.8
436061317	1	.0	.0	98.8
436081334	2	.1	.1	98.9
436091606	1	.0	.0	98.9
436100703	17	.6	.6	99.5
436100884	1	.0	.0	99.5
436111240	1	.0	.0	99.6
436111773	1	.0	.0	99.6
436122257	1	.0	.0	99.7
436123321	1	.0	.0	99.7
436133179	1	.0	.0	99.7
436141917	1	.0	.0	99.8
436142221	1	.0	.0	99.8
436142701	1	.0	.0	99.8
436171154	1	.0	.0	99.9
436184228	1	.0	.0	99.9
436201014	1	.0	.0	99.9
436234022	1	.0	.0	100.0
436234055	1	.0	.0	100.0
Total	2859	99.9	100.0	
Missing System	2	.1		
Total	2861	100.0		

School district

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Lucas-Toledo	1373	48.0	75.9	75.9
	Lucas-Washington	102	3.6	5.6	81.5
	Lucas-Anthony Wayne	45	1.6	2.5	84.0
	Lucas-Oregon	60	2.1	3.3	87.3
	Lucas-Sylvania	84	2.9	4.6	91.9
	Lucas-Springfield	65	2.3	3.6	95.5
	Lucas-Maumee	54	1.9	3.0	98.5
	Defiance-Hicksville	1	.0	.1	98.6
	Wood-Rossford	1	.0	.1	98.6
	Fulton-Swanton	8	.3	.4	99.1
	Summit-Akron	1	.0	.1	99.1
	Fulton-Waseon	1	.0	.1	99.2
	Furon-Monroeville	1	.0	.1	99.2
	Loraine-Firelands	1	.0	.1	99.3
	Cuyahoga-Bay Village	1	.0	.1	99.3
	Lucas-Ottawa Hills	5	.2	.3	99.6
	Erie-Sandusky	1	.0	.1	99.7
	Loraine-Keystone	1	.0	.1	99.7
	Wood-Lake	2	.1	.1	99.8
	Wood-Bowling Green	1	.0	.1	99.9
Henry-Napoleon	1	.0	.1	99.9	
Wood-Northwood	1	.0	.1	100.0	
	Total	1810	63.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1051	36.7		
Total		2861	100.0		

Diagnostic Information: Active Consumers

Primary diagnosis

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unspecified Mental Retardation	2127	74.3	99.9	99.9
	Cerebral Palsy	1	.0	.0	99.9
	Down Syndrome	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Hydrocephalus, congenital	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2130	74.4	100.0	
Missing	System	731	25.6		
Total		2861	100.0		

Primary condition

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unknown	1210	42.3	45.8	45.8
	Down Syndrome, Mongolism, Trisomy 21/22	183	6.4	6.9	52.7
	Microcephalus	11	.4	.4	53.1
	FAS	10	.3	.4	53.5
	Cerebral Palsy	148	5.2	5.6	59.1
	Epilepsy	217	7.6	8.2	67.3
	Mechanical injury at birth	2	.1	.1	67.4
	Tuberous sclerosis	2	.1	.1	67.4
	Autism	139	4.9	5.3	72.7
	Cytomegolic inclusion disease, congenital	5	.2	.2	72.9
	Postnatal injury	9	.3	.3	73.2
	Conditions due to unspecified chromosomal anomaly	4	.1	.2	73.4
	Rubella, congenital	13	.5	.5	73.9
	Sudanophilic leukodystrophy	3	.1	.1	74.0
	Neurofibromatosis	3	.1	.1	74.1
	Other conditions due to autosomal anomalies	1	.0	.0	74.1
	Other preterm infants	94	3.3	3.6	77.7
	Gonadal, Ovarian dysgenesis, Turner, XO syndrome	2	.1	.1	77.8
	Toxoplasmosis, congenital	1	.0	.0	77.8
	Hydrocephalus, congenital	17	.6	.6	78.4
	Head injury: 0-5	8	.3	.3	78.7
	Head injury: 6-9	3	.1	.1	78.9
	Head injury: 10-13	4	.1	.2	79.0
	Head injury: 14-17	5	.2	.2	79.2
	Head injury: 18-21	4	.1	.2	79.3
	Head injury: 22+	1	.0	.0	79.4
	Extreme immaturity	66	2.3	2.5	81.9
	Other psychiatric disorder	85	3.0	3.2	85.1
	Psychosocial disadvantage	5	.2	.2	85.3
	Cornelia de Lang syndrome	3	.1	.1	85.4
	Tumors	4	.1	.2	85.6
	Sensory deprivation	2	.1	.1	85.6
	Other, unspecified disorders of metabolism	2	.1	.1	85.7
	Lead	2	.1	.1	85.8
	Other maternal intoxications	7	.2	.3	86.0
	Psychosis	6	.2	.2	86.3
	Failure to thrive, postnatal	1	.0	.0	86.3
	Prenatal injury	6	.2	.2	86.5
	Thyroid dysfunction, congenital	2	.1	.1	86.6
	Viral	2	.1	.1	86.7
	Cerebrovascular system	1	.0	.0	86.7
	Postnatal hypoxia	2	.1	.1	86.8
	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified	3	.1	.1	86.9
	Light for dates	4	.1	.2	87.1
	Phenylketonuria	3	.1	.1	87.2
	Defects of special senses	6	.2	.2	87.4
	Multiple malformations	1	.0	.0	87.4
	Toxemia of pregnancy	1	.0	.0	87.5
	Perinatal hypoxia	1	.0	.0	87.5
	Meningomyelocele	1	.0	.0	87.6
	Dyslexia	1	.0	.0	87.6
	Bacterial	1	.0	.0	87.6
	Other	327	11.4	12.4	100.0
	Total	2644	92.4	100.0	
Missing	System	217	7.6		
Total		2861	100.0		

Secondary condition

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unknown	268	9.4	40.5	40.5
	Down Syndrome, Mongolism, Trisomy 21/22	1	.0	.2	40.7
	Microcephalus	4	.1	.6	41.3
	FAS	3	.1	.5	41.8
	Cerebral Palsy	56	2.0	8.5	50.2
	Epilepsy	128	4.5	19.4	69.6
	Autism	20	.7	3.0	72.6
	Other preterm infants	4	.1	.6	73.2
	Hydrocephalus, congenital	4	.1	.6	73.8
	Head injury: 14-17	1	.0	.2	74.0
	Head injury: 18-21	1	.0	.2	74.1
	Head injury: 22+	2	.1	.3	74.4
	Extreme immaturity	2	.1	.3	74.7
	Other psychiatric disorder	32	1.1	4.8	79.6
	Psychosocial disadvantage	4	.1	.6	80.2
	Sensory deprivation	2	.1	.3	80.5
	Psychosis	4	.1	.6	81.1
	Failure to thrive, postnatal	4	.1	.6	81.7
	Thyroid dysfunction, congenital	1	.0	.2	81.8
	Postnatal hypoxia	1	.0	.2	82.0
	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified	4	.1	.6	82.6
	Defects of special senses	2	.1	.3	82.9
	Idiopathic hypercalcemia	1	.0	.2	83.1
	Trigeminal cerebral angio	1	.0	.2	83.2
	Autosomal deletion, Cri-d	1	.0	.2	83.4
	Other maternal disorders	1	.0	.2	83.5
	Klinefelter, XXY syndrome	1	.0	.2	83.7
	Other	108	3.8	16.3	100.0
	Total	661	23.1	100.0	
Missing	System	2200	76.9		
Total		2861	100.0		

Developmental delay

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No developmental delay	426	14.9	47.2	47.2
	One delay	194	6.8	21.5	68.7
	More than one delay	283	9.9	31.3	100.0
	Total	903	31.6	100.0	
Missing	System	1958	68.4		
Total		2861	100.0		

At risk

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No identifiable delay	369	12.9	64.7	64.7
	Medical/Genetic (age 0-5)	152	5.3	26.7	91.4
	Environmental and/or Biological	49	1.7	8.6	100.0
	Total	570	19.9	100.0	
Missing	System	2291	80.1		
Total		2861	100.0		

Mental retardation level

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	None	208	7.3	10.5	10.5
	Mild	701	24.5	35.3	45.8
	Moderate	677	23.7	34.1	79.9
	Severe	226	7.9	11.4	91.3
	Profound	173	6.0	8.7	100.0
	Total	1985	69.4	100.0	
Missing	System	876	30.6		
Total		2861	100.0		

Vision status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No vision problem	1735	60.6	64.4	64.4
	Functional after correction	681	23.8	25.3	89.6
	Legally blind	55	1.9	2.0	91.7
	Under evaluation	156	5.5	5.8	97.4
	Visually impaired	69	2.4	2.6	100.0
	Total	2696	94.2	100.0	
Missing	System	165	5.8		
Total		2861	100.0		

Hearing status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No hearing loss	2427	84.8	89.7	89.7
	Functional after correction	53	1.9	2.0	91.6
	Hearing impaired	84	2.9	3.1	94.8
	Complete loss	24	.8	.9	95.6
	Under evaluation	118	4.1	4.4	100.0
	Total	2706	94.6	100.0	
Missing	System	155	5.4		
Total		2861	100.0		

Communication status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No functional communication	255	8.9	9.5	9.5
	Functional with speech	2165	75.7	80.3	89.8
	Functional with other modes	276	9.6	10.2	100.0
	Total	2696	94.2	100.0	
Missing	System	165	5.8		
Total		2861	100.0		

Ambulation status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Walks independently	2129	74.4	89.8	89.8
	Walks with device or physical assist	88	3.1	3.7	93.5
	Uses wheelchair	155	5.4	6.5	100.0
	Total	2372	82.9	100.0	
Missing	System	489	17.1		
Total		2861	100.0		

Services Utilized by Active Consumers (Next Page)

Facility number

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4800120	2	.1	.6	.6
	4800264	1	.0	.3	.9
	4800648	1	.0	.3	1.2
	4800771	1	.0	.3	1.5
	4810020	5	.2	1.5	3.1
	4810057	5	.2	1.5	4.6
	4810066	7	.2	2.2	6.8
	4810128	2	.1	.6	7.4
	4810137	2	.1	.6	8.0
	4810146	13	.5	4.0	12.0
	4810244	5	.2	1.5	13.5
	4810253	22	.8	6.8	20.3
	4810271	8	.3	2.5	22.8
	4810272	3	.1	.9	23.7
	4810299	2	.1	.6	24.3
	4810315	4	.1	1.2	25.5
	4810379	16	.6	4.9	30.5
	4810404	3	.1	.9	31.4
	4810459	10	.3	3.1	34.5
	4810468	3	.1	.9	35.4
	4810477	1	.0	.3	35.7
	4810486	5	.2	1.5	37.2
	4810548	3	.1	.9	38.2
	4810566	6	.2	1.8	40.0
	4810655	2	.1	.6	40.6
	4810673	3	.1	.9	41.5
	4810762	8	.3	2.5	44.0
	4810771	6	.2	1.8	45.8
	4810799	1	.0	.3	46.2
	4810824	4	.1	1.2	47.4
	4811001	4	.1	1.2	48.6
	4811010	4	.1	1.2	49.8
	4811047	6	.2	1.8	51.7
	4811181	2	.1	.6	52.3
	4811225	1	.0	.3	52.6
	4811743	2	.1	.6	53.2
	4811752	3	.1	.9	54.2
	4811761	2	.1	.6	54.8
	4811878	4	.1	1.2	56.0
	4811896	1	.0	.3	56.3
	4811903	1	.0	.3	56.6
	4811921	3	.1	.9	57.5
	4811930	1	.0	.3	57.8
	4811949	1	.0	.3	58.2
	4811958	2	.1	.6	58.8
	4811967	5	.2	1.5	60.3
	4811976	1	.0	.3	60.6
	4811985	1	.0	.3	60.9
	4812000	1	.0	.3	61.2
	4812021	1	.0	.3	61.5
	4812113	2	.1	.6	62.2
	4812115	1	.0	.3	62.5
	4812116	1	.0	.3	62.8
	4812117	3	.1	.9	63.7
	4812118	4	.1	1.2	64.9
	4812120	1	.0	.3	65.2
	4812126	108	3.8	33.2	98.5
	6559342	1	.0	.3	98.8
	8511971	1	.0	.3	99.1
	9010859	1	.0	.3	99.4
	9340109	1	.0	.3	99.7
	9849418	1	.0	.3	100.0
	Total	325	11.4	100.0	
Missing	System	2536	88.6		
Total		2861	100.0		

Facility name

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Rainbow	17	.6	13.4	13.4
	Sunshine	5	.2	3.9	17.3
	Bittersweet	2	.1	1.6	18.9
	Waterford	1	.0	.8	19.7
	Josina	5	.2	3.9	23.6
	Northwest	35	1.2	27.6	51.2
	Anne Grady	7	.2	5.5	56.7
	Zeigler	9	.3	7.1	63.8
	Luther	3	.1	2.4	66.1
	Sunbridge	2	.1	1.6	67.7
	Granger	12	.4	9.4	77.2
	NODC	18	.6	14.2	91.3
	Lake Park	1	.0	.8	92.1
	Harris	2	.1	1.6	93.7
	Wiley	2	.1	1.6	95.3
	Ohio Tea	1	.0	.8	96.1
	Hazel Manor	2	.1	1.6	97.6
	West Toledo	1	.0	.8	98.4
	Briggs Home	1	.0	.8	99.2
	Gene Scott	1	.0	.8	100.0
	Total	127	4.4	100.0	
Missing	System	2734	95.6		
Total		2861	100.0		

Board program

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Valid	Community Employment 10+	287	10.0	13.8	13.8	
	Sheltered Workshop	794	27.8	38.1	51.8	
	Adult Non-Vocational	159	5.6	7.6	59.5	
	Community Training <10	92	3.2	4.4	63.9	
	Self-Directed Supports	53	1.9	2.5	66.4	
	Workshop/Community Training	36	1.3	1.7	68.2	
	Retirement Program	52	1.8	2.5	70.6	
	Supported Education	6	.2	.3	70.9	
	Adult Home Services	22	.8	1.1	72.0	
	Home Instruction (Children)	2	.1	.1	72.1	
	Early Intervention	578	20.2	27.7	99.8	
	Preschool	1	.0	.0	99.9	
	School	3	.1	.1	100.0	
		Total	2085	72.9	100.0	
	Missing	System	776	27.1		
Total		2861	100.0			

Family resources

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	2315	80.9	80.9	80.9
Yes	546	19.1	19.1	100.0
Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Other service provided - 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid RSC/BVR/BVSI	77	2.7	36.3	36.3
Pubic or private mental health	80	2.8	37.7	74.1
Local/county health dept	8	.3	3.8	77.8
County children services	25	.9	11.8	89.6
Private rehabilitation	19	.7	9.0	98.6
Criminal justice system	3	.1	1.4	100.0
Total	212	7.4	100.0	
Missing System	2649	92.6		
Total	2861	100.0		

Other service provided - 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid RSC/BVR/BVSI	3	.1	6.7	6.7
Pubic or private mental health	15	.5	33.3	40.0
Local/county health dept	9	.3	20.0	60.0
County children services	13	.5	28.9	88.9
Private rehabilitation	3	.1	6.7	95.6
Criminal justice system	2	.1	4.4	100.0
Total	45	1.6	100.0	
Missing System	2816	98.4		
Total	2861	100.0		

Other school program

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Regular	516	18.0	98.5	98.5
Private	7	.2	1.3	99.8
Head Start	1	.0	.2	100.0
Total	524	18.3	100.0	
Missing System	2337	81.7		
Total	2861	100.0		

Enclave location

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Calphalon	26	.9	19.0	19.0
	Consoidate Bis	11	.4	8.0	27.0
	Telegraph JT	11	.4	8.0	35.0
	University of Toledo	10	.3	7.3	42.3
	Vehicle Wash	8	.3	5.8	48.2
	LCSNC	17	.6	12.4	60.6
	Mob Meals Assem	9	.3	6.6	67.2
	TMT	4	.1	2.9	70.1
	Groundskeeping	5	.2	3.6	73.7
	Clamps	2	.1	1.5	75.2
	VSC CC	8	.3	5.8	81.0
	Tarta Bus Cl	5	.2	3.6	84.7
	Soccer Center	4	.1	2.9	87.6
	Tarta Stations	7	.2	5.1	92.7
	Holland JC	6	.2	4.4	97.1
	Woodlawn Cemetary	4	.1	2.9	100.0
	Total	137	4.8	100.0	
Missing	System	2724	95.2		
Total		2861	100.0		

Hill participant

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2510	87.7	87.7	87.7
	Yes	351	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total		2861	100.0	100.0	

Holland participant

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2592	90.6	90.6	90.6
	Yes	269	9.4	9.4	100.0
Total		2861	100.0	100.0	

Telegraph participant

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2570	89.8	89.8	89.8
	Yes	291	10.2	10.2	100.0
Total		2861	100.0	100.0	

Larc lane participant

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2787	97.4	97.4	97.4
	Yes	74	2.6	2.6	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Vocational services

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2596	90.7	90.7	90.7
	Yes	265	9.3	9.3	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Behavior plan

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2475	86.5	86.8	86.8
	Yes	375	13.1	13.2	100.0
	Total	2850	99.6	100.0	
Missing	System	11	.4		
Total		2861	100.0		

Behavior specialist

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2487	86.9	86.9	86.9
	Yes	374	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Vocational specialist

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	2588	90.5	90.5	90.5
	Yes	273	9.5	9.5	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

SASS

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	971	33.9	33.9	33.9
	Yes	1890	66.1	66.1	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

EI Specialist

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	2298	80.3	80.3	80.3
Yes	563	19.7	19.7	100.0
Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Transition specialist

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	2861	100.0	100.0	100.0

Habilitation specialist

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid No	1928	67.4	67.4	67.4
Yes	933	32.6	32.6	100.0
Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Appendix B
Select IIF Items by Age Groupings

Age groupings * Living arrangement

Age groupings * Living arrangement Crosstabulation

			Living arrangement					Total	
			Living with family	Living in home: owned or leased	Licensed facility	Nursing facility	Other		Foster care placement
Age groupings	0-2	Count	297	7	0	0	0	34	338
		% within Age groupings	87.9%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	12.8%
	3-5	Count	156	4	0	1	1	12	174
		% within Age groupings	89.7%	2.3%	.0%	.6%	.6%	6.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.9%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	6.6%
	6-11	Count	128	0	0	0	0	10	138
		% within Age groupings	92.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	5.2%
	12-16	Count	109	2	0	0	1	19	131
		% within Age groupings	83.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.8%	14.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.1%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	5.0%
	17-22	Count	227	9	8	0	5	18	267
		% within Age groupings	85.0%	3.4%	3.0%	.0%	1.9%	6.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	8.6%	.3%	.3%	.0%	.2%	.7%	10.1%
	23-29	Count	221	46	32	6	14	6	325
		% within Age groupings	68.0%	14.2%	9.8%	1.8%	4.3%	1.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	8.4%	1.7%	1.2%	.2%	.5%	.2%	12.4%
	30-39	Count	183	92	85	5	11	1	377
		% within Age groupings	48.5%	24.4%	22.5%	1.3%	2.9%	.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	7.0%	3.5%	3.2%	.2%	.4%	.0%	14.3%
	40-49	Count	164	114	124	11	9	0	422
		% within Age groupings	38.9%	27.0%	29.4%	2.6%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.2%	4.3%	4.7%	.4%	.3%	.0%	16.0%
	50-59	Count	77	104	106	9	9	1	306
		% within Age groupings	25.2%	34.0%	34.6%	2.9%	2.9%	.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.9%	4.0%	4.0%	.3%	.3%	.0%	11.6%
	60-69	Count	21	34	44	10	0	0	109
		% within Age groupings	19.3%	31.2%	40.4%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.8%	1.3%	1.7%	.4%	.0%	.0%	4.1%
	70-79	Count	5	11	15	5	1	0	37
		% within Age groupings	13.5%	29.7%	40.5%	13.5%	2.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.2%	.4%	.6%	.2%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	80+	Count	0	0	3	4	0	0	7
		% within Age groupings	.0%	.0%	42.9%	57.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	1588	423	417	51	51	101	2631
		% within Age groupings	60.4%	16.1%	15.8%	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	60.4%	16.1%	15.8%	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	100.0%

Age groupings * County eligible

Age groupings * County eligible? Crosstabulation

			County eligible?		Total
			No	Yes	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	1	426	427
		% within Age groupings	.2%	99.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	14.9%	14.9%
	3-5	Count	16	193	209
		% within Age groupings	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	.6%	6.8%	7.3%
	6-11	Count	58	91	149
		% within Age groupings	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.0%	3.2%	5.2%
	12-16	Count	98	42	140
		% within Age groupings	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.4%	1.5%	4.9%
	17-22	Count	176	123	299
		% within Age groupings	58.9%	41.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.2%	4.3%	10.5%
	23-29	Count	256	83	339
		% within Age groupings	75.5%	24.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	9.0%	2.9%	11.9%
	30-39	Count	286	103	389
		% within Age groupings	73.5%	26.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	10.0%	3.6%	13.6%
	40-49	Count	286	146	432
		% within Age groupings	66.2%	33.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	10.0%	5.1%	15.1%
	50-59	Count	208	104	312
		% within Age groupings	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	7.3%	3.6%	10.9%
	60-69	Count	88	27	115
		% within Age groupings	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.1%	.9%	4.0%
	70-79	Count	32	6	38
		% within Age groupings	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.1%	.2%	1.3%
	80+	Count	8	0	8
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	1513	1344	2857
		% within Age groupings	53.0%	47.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	53.0%	47.0%	100.0%

Age groupings * Developmental Delay

Age groupings * Developmental delay? Crosstabulation

			Developmental delay?			Total
			No developm ental delay	One delay	More than one delay	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	25	142	109	276
		% within Age groupings	9.1%	51.4%	39.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.8%	15.8%	12.1%	30.6%
	3-5	Count	7	46	121	174
		% within Age groupings	4.0%	26.4%	69.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	.8%	5.1%	13.4%	19.3%
	6-11	Count	6	4	47	57
		% within Age groupings	10.5%	7.0%	82.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.4%	5.2%	6.3%
	12-16	Count	32	0	3	35
		% within Age groupings	91.4%	.0%	8.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.6%	.0%	.3%	3.9%
	17-22	Count	63	0	1	64
		% within Age groupings	98.4%	.0%	1.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	7.0%	.0%	.1%	7.1%
	23-29	Count	107	1	0	108
		% within Age groupings	99.1%	.9%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.9%	.1%	.0%	12.0%
	30-39	Count	57	0	1	58
		% within Age groupings	98.3%	.0%	1.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.3%	.0%	.1%	6.4%
	40-49	Count	52	0	0	52
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.8%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
	50-59	Count	53	0	0	53
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.9%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
	60-69	Count	19	0	0	19
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	70-79	Count	4	0	0	4
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.4%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	80+	Count	1	0	0	1
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	426	193	282	901
		% within Age groupings	47.3%	21.4%	31.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	47.3%	21.4%	31.3%	100.0%

Age groupings * At risk

Age groupings * At risk? Crosstabulation

			At risk?			Total
			No identifiable delay	Medical/ Genetic (age 0-5)	Environmental and/or Biological	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	1	118	28	147
		% within Age groupings	.7%	80.3%	19.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.2%	20.7%	4.9%	25.8%
3-5	Count	25	20	12	57	
	% within Age groupings	43.9%	35.1%	21.1%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.4%	3.5%	2.1%	10.0%	
6-11	Count	24	14	9	47	
	% within Age groupings	51.1%	29.8%	19.1%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.2%	2.5%	1.6%	8.2%	
12-16	Count	24	0	0	24	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.2%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	
17-22	Count	48	0	0	48	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	8.4%	.0%	.0%	8.4%	
23-29	Count	82	0	0	82	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	14.4%	.0%	.0%	14.4%	
30-39	Count	49	0	0	49	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	8.6%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	
40-49	Count	46	0	0	46	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	8.1%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	
50-59	Count	51	0	0	51	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	8.9%	.0%	.0%	8.9%	
60-69	Count	15	0	0	15	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	
70-79	Count	3	0	0	3	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%	
80+	Count	1	0	0	1	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	
Total	Count	369	152	49	570	
	% within Age groupings	64.7%	26.7%	8.6%	100.0%	
	% of Total	64.7%	26.7%	8.6%	100.0%	

Age groupings * Mental retardation level

Age groupings * Mental retardation level Crosstabulation

			Mental retardation level					Total
			None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	1	0	0	0	0	1
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
	3-5	Count	6	0	0	0	0	6
		% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	6-11	Count	47	10	9	4	1	71
		% within Age groupings	66.2%	14.1%	12.7%	5.6%	1.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.4%	.5%	.5%	.2%	.1%	3.6%
	12-16	Count	31	48	9	6	1	95
		% within Age groupings	32.6%	50.5%	9.5%	6.3%	1.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.6%	2.4%	.5%	.3%	.1%	4.8%
	17-22	Count	43	104	73	19	8	247
		% within Age groupings	17.4%	42.1%	29.6%	7.7%	3.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.2%	5.2%	3.7%	1.0%	.4%	12.4%
	23-29	Count	30	112	128	16	21	307
		% within Age groupings	9.8%	36.5%	41.7%	5.2%	6.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.5%	5.6%	6.5%	.8%	1.1%	15.5%
	30-39	Count	20	144	135	47	33	379
		% within Age groupings	5.3%	38.0%	35.6%	12.4%	8.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.0%	7.3%	6.8%	2.4%	1.7%	19.1%
	40-49	Count	19	125	169	54	51	418
		% within Age groupings	4.5%	29.9%	40.4%	12.9%	12.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.0%	6.3%	8.5%	2.7%	2.6%	21.1%
	50-59	Count	10	101	108	48	40	307
		% within Age groupings	3.3%	32.9%	35.2%	15.6%	13.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.5%	5.1%	5.4%	2.4%	2.0%	15.5%
	60-69	Count	0	45	30	19	15	109
		% within Age groupings	.0%	41.3%	27.5%	17.4%	13.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	2.3%	1.5%	1.0%	.8%	5.5%
	70-79	Count	0	9	14	11	3	37
		% within Age groupings	.0%	24.3%	37.8%	29.7%	8.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.5%	.7%	.6%	.2%	1.9%
	80+	Count	0	3	2	2	0	7
		% within Age groupings	.0%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.1%	.1%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	207	701	677	226	173	1984
		% within Age groupings	10.4%	35.3%	34.1%	11.4%	8.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	10.4%	35.3%	34.1%	11.4%	8.7%	100.0%

Age groupings * Behavior plan?

Age groupings * Behavior plan? Crosstabulation

			Behavior plan?		Total
			No	Yes	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	425	2	427
		% within Age groupings	99.5%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	14.9%	.1%	15.0%
3-5	Count	207	0	207	
	% within Age groupings	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	7.3%	.0%	7.3%	
6-11	Count	146	2	148	
	% within Age groupings	98.6%	1.4%	100.0%	
	% of Total	5.1%	.1%	5.2%	
12-16	Count	123	15	138	
	% within Age groupings	89.1%	10.9%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.3%	.5%	4.8%	
17-22	Count	273	23	296	
	% within Age groupings	92.2%	7.8%	100.0%	
	% of Total	9.6%	.8%	10.4%	
23-29	Count	283	54	337	
	% within Age groupings	84.0%	16.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	9.9%	1.9%	11.8%	
30-39	Count	290	98	388	
	% within Age groupings	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%	
	% of Total	10.2%	3.4%	13.6%	
40-49	Count	338	94	432	
	% within Age groupings	78.2%	21.8%	100.0%	
	% of Total	11.9%	3.3%	15.2%	
50-59	Count	251	61	312	
	% within Age groupings	80.4%	19.6%	100.0%	
	% of Total	8.8%	2.1%	11.0%	
60-69	Count	95	20	115	
	% within Age groupings	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%	
	% of Total	3.3%	.7%	4.0%	
70-79	Count	33	5	38	
	% within Age groupings	86.8%	13.2%	100.0%	
	% of Total	1.2%	.2%	1.3%	
80+	Count	7	1	8	
	% within Age groupings	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	
	% of Total	.2%	.0%	.3%	
Total	Count	2471	375	2846	
	% within Age groupings	86.8%	13.2%	100.0%	
	% of Total	86.8%	13.2%	100.0%	

Age groupings * Vision status

Age groupings * Vision status Crosstabulation

			Vision status					Total
			No vision problem	Functional after correction	Legally blind	Under evaluation	Visually impaired	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	300	0	1	93	2	396
		% within Age groupings	75.8%	.0%	.3%	23.5%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.1%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.1%	14.7%
	3-5	Count	142	5	1	29	4	181
		% within Age groupings	78.5%	2.8%	.6%	16.0%	2.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.3%	.2%	.0%	1.1%	.1%	6.7%
	6-11	Count	115	8	1	9	2	135
		% within Age groupings	85.2%	5.9%	.7%	6.7%	1.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.3%	.3%	.0%	.3%	.1%	5.0%
	12-16	Count	101	12	3	7	2	125
		% within Age groupings	80.8%	9.6%	2.4%	5.6%	1.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.8%	.4%	.1%	.3%	.1%	4.6%
	17-22	Count	201	52	6	6	3	268
		% within Age groupings	75.0%	19.4%	2.2%	2.2%	1.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	7.5%	1.9%	.2%	.2%	.1%	10.0%
	23-29	Count	185	104	11	5	12	317
		% within Age groupings	58.4%	32.8%	3.5%	1.6%	3.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.9%	3.9%	.4%	.2%	.4%	11.8%
	30-39	Count	231	130	8	0	13	382
		% within Age groupings	60.5%	34.0%	2.1%	.0%	3.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	8.6%	4.8%	.3%	.0%	.5%	14.2%
	40-49	Count	233	162	13	4	15	427
		% within Age groupings	54.6%	37.9%	3.0%	.9%	3.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	8.7%	6.0%	.5%	.1%	.6%	15.9%
	50-59	Count	154	137	7	3	6	307
		% within Age groupings	50.2%	44.6%	2.3%	1.0%	2.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.7%	5.1%	.3%	.1%	.2%	11.4%
	60-69	Count	47	53	3	0	6	109
		% within Age groupings	43.1%	48.6%	2.8%	.0%	5.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.7%	2.0%	.1%	.0%	.2%	4.0%
	70-79	Count	18	15	1	0	3	37
		% within Age groupings	48.6%	40.5%	2.7%	.0%	8.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	.7%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.1%	1.4%
	80+	Count	4	3	0	0	1	8
		% within Age groupings	50.0%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	.1%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	1731	681	55	156	69	2692
		% within Age groupings	64.3%	25.3%	2.0%	5.8%	2.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	64.3%	25.3%	2.0%	5.8%	2.6%	100.0%

Age groupings * Hearing status

Age groupings * Hearing status Crosstabulation

			Hearing status					Total
			No hearing loss	Functional after correction	Hearing impaired	Complete loss	Under evaluation	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	344	2	4	0	49	399
		% within Age groupings	86.2%	.5%	1.0%	.0%	12.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	12.7%	.1%	.1%	.0%	1.8%	14.8%
	3-5	Count	166	0	1	0	15	182
		% within Age groupings	91.2%	.0%	.5%	.0%	8.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	6.7%
	6-11	Count	120	0	4	0	12	136
		% within Age groupings	88.2%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	8.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.4%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.4%	5.0%
	12-16	Count	111	0	1	0	12	124
		% within Age groupings	89.5%	.0%	.8%	.0%	9.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	4.6%
	17-22	Count	247	3	7	0	12	269
		% within Age groupings	91.8%	1.1%	2.6%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	9.1%	.1%	.3%	.0%	.4%	10.0%
	23-29	Count	294	5	9	4	9	321
		% within Age groupings	91.6%	1.6%	2.8%	1.2%	2.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	10.9%	.2%	.3%	.1%	.3%	11.9%
	30-39	Count	352	9	12	7	2	382
		% within Age groupings	92.1%	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	13.0%	.3%	.4%	.3%	.1%	14.1%
40-49	Count	378	14	25	7	3	427	
	% within Age groupings	88.5%	3.3%	5.9%	1.6%	.7%	100.0%	
	% of Total	14.0%	.5%	.9%	.3%	.1%	15.8%	
50-59	Count	275	12	12	5	4	308	
	% within Age groupings	89.3%	3.9%	3.9%	1.6%	1.3%	100.0%	
	% of Total	10.2%	.4%	.4%	.2%	.1%	11.4%	
60-69	Count	98	5	5	1	0	109	
	% within Age groupings	89.9%	4.6%	4.6%	.9%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	3.6%	.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	
70-79	Count	31	2	4	0	0	37	
	% within Age groupings	83.8%	5.4%	10.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	1.1%	.1%	.1%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	
80+	Count	7	1	0	0	0	8	
	% within Age groupings	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
Total	Count	2423	53	84	24	118	2702	
	% within Age groupings	89.7%	2.0%	3.1%	.9%	4.4%	100.0%	
	% of Total	89.7%	2.0%	3.1%	.9%	4.4%	100.0%	

Age groupings * Communication status

Age groupings * Communication status Crosstabulation

			Communication status			Total
			No functional communication	Functional with speech	Functional with other modes	
Age groupings	0-2	Count	1	365	29	395
		% within Age groupings	.3%	92.4%	7.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	13.6%	1.1%	14.7%
	3-5	Count	4	132	42	178
		% within Age groupings	2.2%	74.2%	23.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	.1%	4.9%	1.6%	6.6%
	6-11	Count	21	95	18	134
		% within Age groupings	15.7%	70.9%	13.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	.8%	3.5%	.7%	5.0%
	12-16	Count	19	93	14	126
		% within Age groupings	15.1%	73.8%	11.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	.7%	3.5%	.5%	4.7%
	17-22	Count	29	220	19	268
		% within Age groupings	10.8%	82.1%	7.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.1%	8.2%	.7%	10.0%
	23-29	Count	30	273	18	321
		% within Age groupings	9.3%	85.0%	5.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.1%	10.1%	.7%	11.9%
	30-39	Count	49	288	45	382
		% within Age groupings	12.8%	75.4%	11.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.8%	10.7%	1.7%	14.2%
	40-49	Count	52	332	42	426
		% within Age groupings	12.2%	77.9%	9.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.9%	12.3%	1.6%	15.8%
	50-59	Count	36	239	33	308
		% within Age groupings	11.7%	77.6%	10.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.3%	8.9%	1.2%	11.4%
	60-69	Count	11	85	13	109
		% within Age groupings	10.1%	78.0%	11.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	.4%	3.2%	.5%	4.0%
	70-79	Count	3	31	3	37
		% within Age groupings	8.1%	83.8%	8.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	.1%	1.2%	.1%	1.4%
	80+	Count	0	8	0	8
		% within Age groupings	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	255	2161	276	2692
		% within Age groupings	9.5%	80.3%	10.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	9.5%	80.3%	10.3%	100.0%

Age groupings * Ambulation status

Age groupings * Ambulation status Crosstabulation

		Ambulation status			Total	
		Walks independently	Walks with device or physical assist	Uses wheelchair		
Age groupings	0-2	Count	157	5	0	162
		% within Age groupings	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.6%	.2%	.0%	6.8%
	3-5	Count	116	10	3	129
		% within Age groupings	89.9%	7.8%	2.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.9%	.4%	.1%	5.4%
	6-11	Count	128	1	8	137
		% within Age groupings	93.4%	.7%	5.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.4%	.0%	.3%	5.8%
	12-16	Count	115	3	7	125
		% within Age groupings	92.0%	2.4%	5.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.9%	.1%	.3%	5.3%
	17-22	Count	240	6	14	260
		% within Age groupings	92.3%	2.3%	5.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	10.1%	.3%	.6%	11.0%
	23-29	Count	278	14	24	316
		% within Age groupings	88.0%	4.4%	7.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.7%	.6%	1.0%	13.3%
	30-39	Count	323	13	32	368
		% within Age groupings	87.8%	3.5%	8.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	13.6%	.5%	1.4%	15.5%
	40-49	Count	373	15	29	417
		% within Age groupings	89.4%	3.6%	7.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	15.8%	.6%	1.2%	17.6%
	50-59	Count	270	12	19	301
		% within Age groupings	89.7%	4.0%	6.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.4%	.5%	.8%	12.7%
	60-69	Count	90	6	12	108
		% within Age groupings	83.3%	5.6%	11.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.8%	.3%	.5%	4.6%
	70-79	Count	31	2	4	37
		% within Age groupings	83.8%	5.4%	10.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.3%	.1%	.2%	1.6%
	80+	Count	4	1	3	8
		% within Age groupings	50.0%	12.5%	37.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	.2%	.0%	.1%	.3%
Total		Count	2125	88	155	2368
		% within Age groupings	89.7%	3.7%	6.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	89.7%	3.7%	6.5%	100.0%

Age groupings * Facility name

Age groupings * Facility name Crosstabulation

		Facility name																			Total	
		Rainbow	unshin	itterswee	aterfor	Josina	orthwest	ne Grad	Zeigler	Luther	unbridg	Grange	NODC	ake Par	Harris	Wiley	Ohio Teazel	Manest	Toled	iggs Hom		ene Scc
Age group	17-2 Count	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	% within Age g	28.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	42.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%
23-2	Count	4	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	% within Age g	23.5%	5.9%	5.9%	.0%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	.0%	17.6%	.0%	5.9%	17.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	3.1%	.8%	.8%	.0%	.8%	1.6%	.8%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.8%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.4%
30-3	Count	3	3	1	0	1	12	2	0	0	0	2	6	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	33
	% within Age g	9.1%	9.1%	3.0%	.0%	3.0%	36.4%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	18.2%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	2.4%	2.4%	.8%	.0%	.8%	9.4%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	4.7%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.0%
40-4	Count	4	0	0	0	3	9	1	1	0	1	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
	% within Age g	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	28.1%	3.1%	3.1%	.0%	3.1%	21.9%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	7.1%	.8%	.8%	.0%	.8%	5.5%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.2%
50-5	Count	4	0	0	1	0	9	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	26
	% within Age g	15.4%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	34.6%	7.7%	11.5%	.0%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	7.1%	1.6%	2.4%	.0%	.8%	.8%	.8%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.8%	.0%	20.5%
60-6	Count	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	% within Age g	.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1%
70-7	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	% within Age g	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
80+	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	% within Age g	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Total	Count	17	5	2	1	5	35	7	9	3	2	12	18	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	127
	% within Age g	13.4%	3.9%	1.6%	.8%	3.9%	27.6%	5.5%	7.1%	2.4%	1.6%	9.4%	14.2%	.8%	1.6%	1.6%	.8%	1.6%	.8%	.8%	.8%	100.0%
	% of Total	13.4%	3.9%	1.6%	.8%	3.9%	27.6%	5.5%	7.1%	2.4%	1.6%	9.4%	14.2%	.8%	1.6%	1.6%	.8%	1.6%	.8%	.8%	.8%	100.0%

Age groupings * Board program

Age groupings * Board program Crosstabulation

			Board program												Total			
			Community Employment 10+	Sheltered Workshop	Adult Non-Vocational	Community Training <10	Self-Directed Supports	Workshop/Community Training	Retirement Program	Supported Education	Adult Home Services	Home Instruction (Children)	Early Intervention	Preschool		School		
Age groupings	0-2	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	415	0	0	415
		% within Age grouping	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	19.9%	.0%	.0%	19.9%
	3-5	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	159	0	0	159	
		% within Age grouping	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	
	6-11	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	
		% within Age grouping	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	
	12-16	Count	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
		% within Age grouping	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	
	17-22	Count	9	28	0	17	2	3	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	65	
		% within Age grouping	13.8%	43.1%	.0%	26.2%	3.1%	4.6%	.0%	4.6%	1.5%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.4%	1.3%	.0%	.8%	.1%	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	
	23-29	Count	72	99	15	31	17	13	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	249	
		% within Age grouping	28.9%	39.8%	6.0%	12.4%	6.8%	5.2%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	3.5%	4.8%	.7%	1.5%	.8%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.0%	
	30-39	Count	92	178	40	19	13	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	355	
		% within Age grouping	25.9%	50.1%	11.3%	5.4%	3.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	4.4%	8.5%	1.9%	.9%	.6%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	17.1%	
	40-49	Count	59	241	49	16	12	9	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	394	
		% within Age grouping	15.0%	61.2%	12.4%	4.1%	3.0%	2.3%	.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	2.8%	11.6%	2.4%	.8%	.6%	.4%	.1%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.9%	
	50-59	Count	40	193	35	6	6	5	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	294	
		% within Age grouping	13.6%	65.6%	11.9%	2.0%	2.0%	1.7%	2.4%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	1.9%	9.3%	1.7%	.3%	.3%	.2%	.3%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	
	60-69	Count	12	48	15	2	2	0	19	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	102	
		% within Age grouping	11.8%	47.1%	14.7%	2.0%	2.0%	.0%	18.6%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.6%	2.3%	.7%	.1%	.1%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	
	70-79	Count	3	6	5	1	1	0	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36	
		% within Age grouping	8.3%	16.7%	13.9%	2.8%	2.8%	.0%	52.8%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.1%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	
	80+	Count	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	
		% within Age grouping	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	62.5%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	
Total		Count	287	794	159	92	53	36	52	6	22	2	575	1	3	2082		
		% within Age grouping	13.8%	38.1%	7.6%	4.4%	2.5%	1.7%	2.5%	.3%	1.1%	.1%	27.6%	.0%	.1%	100.0%		
		% of Total	13.8%	38.1%	7.6%	4.4%	2.5%	1.7%	2.5%	.3%	1.1%	.1%	27.6%	.0%	.1%	100.0%		

Appendix C
Select IIF Items by Initial Enrollment Date: 2004 - 2007

Initial enroll date * Age groupings

Initial enroll date * Age groupings Crosstabulation

			Age groupings											Total	
			0-2	3-5	6-11	12-16	17-22	23-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79		80+
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	0	37	15	7	38	19	6	8	4	3	0	0	137
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	27.0%	10.9%	5.1%	27.7%	13.9%	4.4%	5.8%	2.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	4.3%	1.8%	.8%	4.4%	2.2%	.7%	.9%	.5%	.4%	.0%	.0%	16.0%
	2005	Count	75	53	12	8	25	9	9	10	8	3	1	1	214
		% within Initial enroll date	35.0%	24.8%	5.6%	3.7%	11.7%	4.2%	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%	1.4%	.5%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	8.8%	6.2%	1.4%	.9%	2.9%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	.9%	.4%	.1%	.1%	25.0%
	2006	Count	205	60	18	10	22	8	7	8	3	0	0	0	341
		% within Initial enroll date	60.1%	17.6%	5.3%	2.9%	6.5%	2.3%	2.1%	2.3%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	23.9%	7.0%	2.1%	1.2%	2.6%	.9%	.8%	.9%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	39.8%
	2007	Count	129	29	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	164
		% within Initial enroll date	78.7%	17.7%	.0%	1.2%	.6%	.0%	1.2%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	15.1%	3.4%	.0%	.2%	.1%	.0%	.2%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	19.2%
Total	Count	409	179	45	27	86	36	24	27	15	6	1	1	856	
	% within Initial enroll date	47.8%	20.9%	5.3%	3.2%	10.0%	4.2%	2.8%	3.2%	1.8%	.7%	.1%	.1%	100.0%	
	% of Total	47.8%	20.9%	5.3%	3.2%	10.0%	4.2%	2.8%	3.2%	1.8%	.7%	.1%	.1%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * County eligible?

Crosstab

			County eligible?		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	16	121	137
		% within Initial enroll date	11.7%	88.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.9%	14.1%	15.9%
	2005	Count	11	203	214
		% within Initial enroll date	5.1%	94.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.3%	23.6%	24.9%
	2006	Count	18	324	342
		% within Initial enroll date	5.3%	94.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.1%	37.7%	39.8%
	2007	Count	1	165	166
		% within Initial enroll date	.6%	99.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	.1%	19.2%	19.3%
Total	Count	46	813	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	5.4%	94.6%	100.0%	
	% of Total	5.4%	94.6%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Developmental delay?

Crosstab

			Developmental delay?			Total
			No developm ental delay	One delay	More than one delay	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	4	11	22	37
		% within Initial enroll date	10.8%	29.7%	59.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	.9%	2.5%	5.1%	8.6%
	2005	Count	11	51	50	112
		% within Initial enroll date	9.8%	45.5%	44.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	2.5%	11.8%	11.6%	25.9%
	2006	Count	18	102	96	216
		% within Initial enroll date	8.3%	47.2%	44.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.2%	23.6%	22.2%	50.0%
2007	Count	2	18	47	67	
	% within Initial enroll date	3.0%	26.9%	70.1%	100.0%	
	% of Total	.5%	4.2%	10.9%	15.5%	
Total	Count	35	182	215	432	
	% within Initial enroll date	8.1%	42.1%	49.8%	100.0%	
	% of Total	8.1%	42.1%	49.8%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * At risk?

Crosstab

			At risk?			Total
			No identifiable delay	Medical/ Genetic (age 0-5)	Environme ntal and/or Biological	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	37	4	2	43
		% within Initial enroll date	86.0%	9.3%	4.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	17.3%	1.9%	.9%	20.1%
	2005	Count	7	33	15	55
		% within Initial enroll date	12.7%	60.0%	27.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.3%	15.4%	7.0%	25.7%
	2006	Count	2	78	13	93
		% within Initial enroll date	2.2%	83.9%	14.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.9%	36.4%	6.1%	43.5%
2007	Count	0	16	7	23	
	% within Initial enroll date	.0%	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%	
	% of Total	.0%	7.5%	3.3%	10.7%	
Total	Count	46	131	37	214	
	% within Initial enroll date	21.5%	61.2%	17.3%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.5%	61.2%	17.3%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Mental retardation level

Crosstab

			Mental retardation level					Total
			None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	16	31	15	4	2	68
		% within Initial enroll date	23.5%	45.6%	22.1%	5.9%	2.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	9.0%	17.5%	8.5%	2.3%	1.1%	38.4%
	2005	Count	11	22	13	7	4	57
		% within Initial enroll date	19.3%	38.6%	22.8%	12.3%	7.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.2%	12.4%	7.3%	4.0%	2.3%	32.2%
	2006	Count	16	15	15	1	0	47
		% within Initial enroll date	34.0%	31.9%	31.9%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	9.0%	8.5%	8.5%	.6%	.0%	26.6%
	2007	Count	1	2	2	0	0	5
		% within Initial enroll date	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.6%	1.1%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
Total	Count	44	70	45	12	6	177	
	% within Initial enroll date	24.9%	39.5%	25.4%	6.8%	3.4%	100.0%	
	% of Total	24.9%	39.5%	25.4%	6.8%	3.4%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Facility name

Crosstab

			Facility name					Total
			Bittersweet	Northwest	Sunbridge	NODC	Hazel Manor	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	0	1	0	2	0	3
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	33.3%	.0%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	6.7%	.0%	13.3%	.0%	20.0%
	2005	Count	1	0	1	3	1	6
		% within Initial enroll date	16.7%	.0%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.7%	.0%	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	40.0%
	2006	Count	0	1	0	4	0	5
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	6.7%	.0%	26.7%	.0%	33.3%
	2007	Count	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	6.7%
Total	Count	1	2	1	10	1	15	
	% within Initial enroll date	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Board program

Crosstab

			Board program								Total	
			Community Employment 10+	Sheltered Workshop	Community Training <10	Self-Directed Supports	Workshop/Community Training	Retirement Program	Adult Home Services	Home Instruction (Children)		Early Intervention
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	2	20	5	4	2	1	0	0	34	68
		% within Initial enroll date	2.9%	29.4%	7.4%	5.9%	2.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.3%	3.2%	.8%	.6%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	11.0%
2005		Count	1	16	1	1	0	3	0	2	116	140
		% within Initial enroll date	.7%	11.4%	.7%	.7%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.4%	82.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	.2%	2.6%	.2%	.2%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.3%	18.7%	22.5%
2006		Count	1	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	251	259
		% within Initial enroll date	.4%	1.9%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	96.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	.2%	.8%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	40.4%	41.7%
2007		Count	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	153	154
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	99.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	24.6%	24.8%
Total		Count	4	42	7	5	2	4	1	2	554	621
		% within Initial enroll date	.6%	6.8%	1.1%	.8%	.3%	.6%	.2%	.3%	89.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	.6%	6.8%	1.1%	.8%	.3%	.6%	.2%	.3%	89.2%	100.0%

Initial enroll date * Behavior plan?

Crosstab

			Behavior plan?		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	127	10	137
		% within Initial enroll date	92.7%	7.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	14.9%	1.2%	16.0%
2005		Count	209	5	214
		% within Initial enroll date	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	24.4%	.6%	25.0%
2006		Count	333	6	339
		% within Initial enroll date	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	38.9%	.7%	39.6%
2007		Count	165	0	165
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	19.3%	.0%	19.3%
Total		Count	834	21	855
		% within Initial enroll date	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%

Initial enroll date * Family resources?

Crosstab

			Family resources?		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	103	34	137
		% within Initial enroll date	75.2%	24.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	12.0%	4.0%	15.9%
	2005	Count	177	37	214
		% within Initial enroll date	82.7%	17.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	20.6%	4.3%	24.9%
	2006	Count	286	56	342
		% within Initial enroll date	83.6%	16.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	33.3%	6.5%	39.8%
	2007	Count	143	23	166
		% within Initial enroll date	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	16.6%	2.7%	19.3%
Total	Count	709	150	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	82.5%	17.5%	100.0%	
	% of Total	82.5%	17.5%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Other service provided - 1

Crosstab

			Other service provided - 1					Total	
			RSC/BVR/BVSI	Pubic or private mental health	Local/county health dept	County children services	Private rehabilitation		Criminal justice system
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	1	1	1	0	4	1	8
		% within Initial enroll date	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	.0%	13.8%	3.4%	27.6%
	2005	Count	0	0	3	2	0	0	5
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	10.3%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	17.2%
	2006	Count	1	8	0	4	0	0	13
		% within Initial enroll date	7.7%	61.5%	.0%	30.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.4%	27.6%	.0%	13.8%	.0%	.0%	44.8%
	2007	Count	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.3%
Total	Count	2	12	4	6	4	1	29	
	% within Initial enroll date	6.9%	41.4%	13.8%	20.7%	13.8%	3.4%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.9%	41.4%	13.8%	20.7%	13.8%	3.4%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Other service provided - 2

Crosstab

			Other service provided - 2				Total
			Pubic or private mental health	Local/county health dept	County children services	Criminal justice system	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	1	0	2	0	3
		% within Initial enroll date	33.3%	.0%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.1%	.0%	22.2%	.0%	33.3%
	2005	Count	0	1	0	0	1
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	11.1%
	2006	Count	2	1	1	0	4
		% within Initial enroll date	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	.0%	44.4%
	2007	Count	0	0	0	1	1
		% within Initial enroll date	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	11.1%
Total	Count	3	2	3	1	9	
	% within Initial enroll date	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%	
	% of Total	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Other school program

Crosstab

			Other school program			Total
			Regular	Private	Head Start	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	44	3	1	48
		% within Initial enroll date	91.7%	6.3%	2.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	30.6%	2.1%	.7%	33.3%
	2005	Count	39	0	0	39
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	27.1%	.0%	.0%	27.1%
	2006	Count	49	0	0	49
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	34.0%	.0%	.0%	34.0%
	2007	Count	6	2	0	8
		% within Initial enroll date	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.2%	1.4%	.0%	5.6%
Total	Count	138	5	1	144	
	% within Initial enroll date	95.8%	3.5%	.7%	100.0%	
	% of Total	95.8%	3.5%	.7%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Vocational services?

Crosstab

			Vocational services?		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	132	5	137
		% within Initial enroll date	96.4%	3.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	15.4%	.6%	15.9%
	2005	Count	213	1	214
		% within Initial enroll date	99.5%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	24.8%	.1%	24.9%
	2006	Count	340	2	342
		% within Initial enroll date	99.4%	.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	39.6%	.2%	39.8%
2007	Count	166	0	166	
	% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.3%	.0%	19.3%	
Total	Count	851	8	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	99.1%	.9%	100.0%	
	% of Total	99.1%	.9%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Behavior plan?

Crosstab

			Behavior plan?		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	127	10	137
		% within Initial enroll date	92.7%	7.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	14.9%	1.2%	16.0%
	2005	Count	209	5	214
		% within Initial enroll date	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	24.4%	.6%	25.0%
	2006	Count	333	6	339
		% within Initial enroll date	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	38.9%	.7%	39.6%
2007	Count	165	0	165	
	% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.3%	.0%	19.3%	
Total	Count	834	21	855	
	% within Initial enroll date	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%	
	% of Total	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Behavior specialist

Crosstab

			Behavior specialist		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	128	9	137
		% within Initial enroll date	93.4%	6.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	14.9%	1.0%	15.9%
	2005	Count	204	10	214
		% within Initial enroll date	95.3%	4.7%	100.0%
		% of Total	23.7%	1.2%	24.9%
	2006	Count	341	1	342
		% within Initial enroll date	99.7%	.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	39.7%	.1%	39.8%
2007	Count	166	0	166	
	% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.3%	.0%	19.3%	
Total	Count	839	20	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	
	% of Total	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Vocational specialist

Crosstab

			Vocational specialist		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	130	7	137
		% within Initial enroll date	94.9%	5.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	15.1%	.8%	15.9%
	2005	Count	213	1	214
		% within Initial enroll date	99.5%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	24.8%	.1%	24.9%
	2006	Count	341	1	342
		% within Initial enroll date	99.7%	.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	39.7%	.1%	39.8%
2007	Count	166	0	166	
	% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	19.3%	.0%	19.3%	
Total	Count	850	9	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	99.0%	1.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	99.0%	1.0%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * SASS

Crosstab

			SASS		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	45	92	137
		% within Initial enroll date	32.8%	67.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.2%	10.7%	15.9%
	2005	Count	132	82	214
		% within Initial enroll date	61.7%	38.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	15.4%	9.5%	24.9%
	2006	Count	281	61	342
		% within Initial enroll date	82.2%	17.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	32.7%	7.1%	39.8%
	2007	Count	158	8	166
		% within Initial enroll date	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	18.4%	.9%	19.3%
Total	Count	616	243	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * EI Specialist

Crosstab

			EI Specialist		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	118	19	137
		% within Initial enroll date	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	13.7%	2.2%	15.9%
	2005	Count	100	114	214
		% within Initial enroll date	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	11.6%	13.3%	24.9%
	2006	Count	92	250	342
		% within Initial enroll date	26.9%	73.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	10.7%	29.1%	39.8%
	2007	Count	12	154	166
		% within Initial enroll date	7.2%	92.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.4%	17.9%	19.3%
Total	Count	322	537	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	
	% of Total	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Transition specialist

Crosstab

			Transition specialist	
			No	Total
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	137	137
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	15.9%	15.9%
	2005	Count	214	214
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	24.9%	24.9%
	2006	Count	342	342
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	39.8%	39.8%
	2007	Count	166	166
		% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	19.3%	19.3%
Total	Count	859	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Initial enroll date * Habilitation specialist

Crosstab

			Habilitation specialist		Total
			No	Yes	
Initial enroll date	2004	Count	116	21	137
		% within Initial enroll date	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	13.5%	2.4%	15.9%
	2005	Count	193	21	214
		% within Initial enroll date	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	22.5%	2.4%	24.9%
	2006	Count	337	5	342
		% within Initial enroll date	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	39.2%	.6%	39.8%
	2007	Count	165	1	166
		% within Initial enroll date	99.4%	.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	19.2%	.1%	19.3%
Total	Count	811	48	859	
	% within Initial enroll date	94.4%	5.6%	100.0%	
	% of Total	94.4%	5.6%	100.0%	

Appendix D
Select IIF Items by Geographic Area, Excluding ICFMR

Facility classification

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ICFMR	87	3.0	3.0	3.0
	All else	2774	97.0	97.0	100.0
	Total	2861	100.0	100.0	

Three geographic areas

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Oregon/Curtice	286	10.3	36.2	36.2
	Sylvania/Berkey	309	11.1	39.2	75.4
	Mau/Mon/White/ Water/Swan/Neo	194	7.0	24.6	100.0
	Total	789	28.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1985	71.6		
Total		2774	100.0		

Three geographic areas * Age groupings Crosstabulation

			Age groupings											Total	
			0-2	3-5	6-11	12-16	17-22	23-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79		80+
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	55	20	27	15	41	34	39	27	18	8	1	0	285
		% within a	19.3%	7.0%	9.5%	5.3%	14.4%	11.9%	13.7%	9.5%	6.3%	2.8%	.4%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	7.0%	2.5%	3.4%	1.9%	5.2%	4.3%	5.0%	3.4%	2.3%	1.0%	.1%	.0%	36.2%
Sylvania/Berkey		Count	49	30	17	10	40	45	46	30	26	11	5	0	309
		% within a	15.9%	9.7%	5.5%	3.2%	12.9%	14.6%	14.9%	9.7%	8.4%	3.6%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.2%	3.8%	2.2%	1.3%	5.1%	5.7%	5.8%	3.8%	3.3%	1.4%	.6%	.0%	39.3%
Mau/Mon/White/ Water/Swan/Neo		Count	29	21	9	8	18	38	24	21	15	7	2	1	193
		% within a	15.0%	10.9%	4.7%	4.1%	9.3%	19.7%	12.4%	10.9%	7.8%	3.6%	1.0%	.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.7%	2.7%	1.1%	1.0%	2.3%	4.8%	3.0%	2.7%	1.9%	.9%	.3%	.1%	24.5%
Total		Count	133	71	53	33	99	117	109	78	59	26	8	1	787
		% within a	16.9%	9.0%	6.7%	4.2%	12.6%	14.9%	13.9%	9.9%	7.5%	3.3%	1.0%	.1%	100.0%
		% of Total	16.9%	9.0%	6.7%	4.2%	12.6%	14.9%	13.9%	9.9%	7.5%	3.3%	1.0%	.1%	100.0%

Three geographic areas * Mental retardation level Crosstabulation

			Mental retardation level					Total
			None	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	22	67	63	19	7	178
		% within geographic area	12.4%	37.6%	35.4%	10.7%	3.9%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.4%	13.4%	12.6%	3.8%	1.4%	35.6%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	30	77	61	18	9	195
		% within geographic area	15.4%	39.5%	31.3%	9.2%	4.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.0%	15.4%	12.2%	3.6%	1.8%	39.0%
	Mau/Mon/White/ Water/Swan/Neo	Count	21	39	41	13	13	127
		% within geographic area	16.5%	30.7%	32.3%	10.2%	10.2%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.2%	7.8%	8.2%	2.6%	2.6%	25.4%
Total	Count	73	183	165	50	29	500	
	% within geographic area	14.6%	36.6%	33.0%	10.0%	5.8%	100.0%	
	% of Total	14.6%	36.6%	33.0%	10.0%	5.8%	100.0%	

Three geographic areas * Behavior plan? Crosstabulation

			Behavior plan?		Total
			No	Yes	
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	269	15	284
		% within geographic area	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	34.2%	1.9%	36.1%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	291	17	308
		% within geographic area	94.5%	5.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	37.0%	2.2%	39.2%
	Mau/Mon/White/ Water/Swan/Neo	Count	172	22	194
		% within geographic area	88.7%	11.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	21.9%	2.8%	24.7%
Total	Count	732	54	786	
	% within geographic area	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%	
	% of Total	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%	

Three geographic areas * Behavior specialist Crosstabulation

			Behavior specialist		Total
			No	Yes	
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	273	13	286
		% within geographic area	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
		% of Total	34.6%	1.6%	36.2%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	291	18	309
		% within geographic area	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	36.9%	2.3%	39.2%
	Mau/Mon/White/ Water/Swan/Neo	Count	177	17	194
		% within geographic area	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%
		% of Total	22.4%	2.2%	24.6%
Total	Count	741	48	789	
	% within geographic area	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%	
	% of Total	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%	

			Primary condition						
			Unknown	Down Syndrome, Mongolism, Trisomy 21/22	Microcephalus	FAS	Cerebral Palsy	Epilepsy	Autism
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	109	17	1	2	15	13	13
		% within areas	43.4%	6.8%	0.4%	0.8%	6.0%	5.2%	5.2%
		% of Total	15.3%	2.4%	0.1%	0.3%	2.1%	1.8%	1.8%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	119	16	4	0	19	21	28
		% within areas	42.3%	5.7%	1.4%	0.0%	6.8%	7.5%	10.0%
		% of Total	16.7%	2.2%	0.6%	0.0%	2.7%	2.9%	3.9%
	Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo	Count	70	17	2	1	10	17	11
		% within areas	38.9%	9.4%	1.1%	0.6%	5.6%	9.4%	6.1%
		% of Total	9.8%	2.4%	0.3%	0.1%	1.4%	2.4%	1.5%
Total	Count	298	50	7	3	44	51	52	
	% within areas	41.9%	7.0%	1.0%	0.4%	6.2%	7.2%	7.3%	
	% of Total	41.9%	7.0%	1.0%	0.4%	6.2%	7.2%	7.3%	

			Cytomegolic inclusion disease, congenital	Postnatal injury	Conditions due to unspecified chromosomal anomaly	Rubella, congenital	Neurofibromatosis	Other preterm infants	Gonadal, Ovarian dysgenesis, Turner, XO syndrome
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	0	0	1	1	0	14	0
		% within areas	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	2	2	1	1	1	10	0
		% within areas	0.7%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	3.6%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%
	Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo	Count	1	2	0	1	0	2	1
		% within areas	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%
		% of Total	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
Total	Count	3	4	2	3	1	26	1	
	% within areas	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	3.7%	0.1%	
	% of Total	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	3.7%	0.1%	

			Toxoplasmosis, congenital	Hydrocephalus, congenital	Head injury: 0-5	Head injury: 6-9	Head injury: 10-13	Head injury: 14-17	Head injury: 18-21
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
		% within areas	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	0	4	0	0	1	1	0
		% within areas	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
	Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo	Count	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
		% within areas	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%
		% of Total	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	Count	1	4	1	1	2	2	1	
	% within areas	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	
	% of Total	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	

			Extreme immaturity	Other psychiatric disorder	Tumors	Sensory deprivation	Other maternal intoxications	Prenatal injury	Thyroid dysfunction, congenital
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	9	9	0	0	1	0	0
		% within areas	3.6%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
		% of Total	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	4	7	1	1	0	1	0
		% within areas	1.4%	2.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.6%	1.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo	Count	3	6	1	0	0	1	1
		% within areas	1.7%	3.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
		% of Total	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Total	Count	16	22	2	1	1	2	1	
	% within areas	2.2%	3.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	
	% of Total	2.2%	3.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	

			Postnatal hypoxia	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified	Light for dates	Phenylketonuria	Defects of special senses	Meningomyelocele	Bacterial
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
		% within areas	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	1	1	0	0	2	0	1
		% within areas	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%
		% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
	Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo	Count	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
		% within areas	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
		% of Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	Count	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	
	% within areas	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	
	% of Total	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	

			Other	Total
Three geographic areas	Oregon/Curtice	Count	41	251
		% within areas	16.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.8%	35.3%
	Sylvania/Berkey	Count	32	281
		% within areas	11.4%	100.0%
		% of Total	4.5%	39.5%
	Mau/Mon/White/Water/Swan/Neo	Count	27	180
		% within areas	15.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	3.8%	25.3%
Total	Count	100	712	
	% within areas	14.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	14.0%	100.0%	

Appendix E
Missing Data Table: IIF Items with >10.0% Missing

IIF Variable	Frequency Missing	Percent Missing
Ambulation Status	489	17.1%
Primary Diagnosis	731	25.6%
Board Program	776	27.1%
Active Status Year	801	28.0%
Mental Retardation Level	876	30.6%
School District	1051	36.7%
Developmental Delay	1958	68.4%
Secondary Condition	2200	76.9%
At Risk	2291	80.1%
Other School Program	2337	81.7%
Facility Number	2536	88.6%
Other Service Provided-1	2649	92.6%
Enclave Location	2724	95.2%
Facility Name	2734	95.6%
Other Service Provided-2	2816	98.4%