**Online CS 6910 Project Assessment**

**Learning Outcomes:** Students who complete the MS in Computer Science program will:

1. will have produced an independent research project or written a master's thesis.
2. will have critically examined a body of current, published research in computer science.
3. will have demonstrated proficiency in the presentation of technical information, both oral and written.
4. will have developed a perspective that reflects both theory and application.
5. will be prepared to (a) gain admission to Ph.D. programs, (b) work as professionals within the computing industry, or (c) teach computer science courses.
6. will have assimilated values and standards important to the profession.

This project serves as a capstone experience to the MS degree and, as such, should promote the learning outcomes stated above. The CS 6910 project requires a written report and an oral presentation. This form is filled out by the project supervisor and by one CS additional faculty member to assess the student’s performance.

**Evaluation of Project**

**PR1.** Was this project driven by a narrowly focused question and sustained by a well-defined procedure capable of generating an answer to that question? [Learning outcomes 1, 4]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**PR2.** For this project, did the student examine a body of current, published research in computer science? [Learning outcome 2]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**PR3.** Was this the kind of project that is likely to advance this student’s career—either as a Ph.D. candidate or in the job market or as a teacher of computer science? [Learning outcome 5]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**PR4.** Did the project enhance the student’s knowledge or understanding of one or more areas in computer science? [Learning outcome 4]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**PR5.** General Comments on Project:

---

**Evaluation of Written Report**

**WR1.** Has the student written a technical report that could be published in a graduate journal or in conference proceedings that include graduate papers? [Learning outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**WR2.** Did the report use a writing style that would be appropriate for publication in a graduate journal or in conference proceedings that include graduate papers? [Learning outcome 3]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**WR3.** Was proper attribution given to previously published work? [Learning outcome 6]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**WR4.** Did the report satisfy the department’s minimum standards (e.g. 10-page minimum, professional writing)?

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**WR5.** General Comments on Written Report:

---

**Evaluation of Oral Presentation**

**OP1.** Has the student demonstrated proficiency in the presentation of technical information? [Learning outcome 3]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**OP2.** Did the student use appropriate audio/visual and technology resources to support the presentation? [Learning outcome 3]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**OP3.** Was the student’s presentation style appropriate (e.g. lucid organization, eye contact, can be heard)? [Learning outcome 3]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**OP4.** Did the presentation satisfy the department’s minimum standards (e.g. 20 minute minimum, plus questions)? [Learning outcome 3]

- Strongly Agree (5)
- Agree (4)
- Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)
- Disagree (2)
- Strongly Disagree (1)

**OP5.** General Comments on Oral Presentation:

---

Date ___________________________ Signature: Supervisor ☐ Evaluator ☐