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Why Study Moderation and/or Mediation

Given a significant association found between $X$ (e.g., education) and $Y$ (e.g., net assets), social science researchers can conduct three additional analyses with new variables (e.g., social connection):

1. Test for the spurious relation between $X$ and $Y$
Why Study Moderation and/or Mediation?

(2) Test if Z modifies the X-Y relation

(3) Test if Z mediates the effect of X on Y
Why Study Moderation and/or Mediation?

- The basic model establishes a valid X-Y relation, and this relation is the basis for testing the spurious X-Y relation and the moderating or mediating effects of Z on the X-Y relation.
- The first model describes that Z created a spurious association between X and Y.
- The second model is the moderating effect of Z, that is, the X-Y relation varies with the value of Z.
- The third model is the mediating effect of Z, that is, the effect of X on Y needed to go through Z.
- Z can play three different types of roles in the X-Y relation.
Moderation

Logic: If Z moderates the X-Y relation, the X-Y relation differs in magnitude or even sign for at least some levels of Z. For example:

(1) The X-Y relation for the whole sample

Education \[ \rightarrow \] 500 \[ \rightarrow \] net assets

(2) The strength of X-Y relation differs for subgroups of people

Education \[ \rightarrow \] 2000 \[ \rightarrow \] net assets

People with good connection

Education \[ \rightarrow \] 0 \[ \rightarrow \] net assets

People without good connection
Moderation

(3) The sign of the X-Y relation changes for subgroups of people

- People without good connection: Education $\rightarrow$ net assets $= 0$
- People with good connection: Education $\rightarrow$ net assets $= 1000$
- People with extremely good connection: Education $\rightarrow$ net assets $= -3000$
How to Model Moderation

Moderation indicates that the X-Y relation differs by the level of Z. When using multiple regression, you simply include X, Z, and an interaction term between X and Z as predictors of Y. If the regression coefficient of this interaction term is significant, it suggests that Z modifies the X-Y relation.

\[ Y = b_0 + aX + bZ + cXZ + \varepsilon \]

- a indicates the main effect of X on Y
- b indicates the main effect of Z on Y
- c indicates the interaction effect of X and Z, i.e., the effect of X on Y, given the presence of Z
Checklist of Modeling Moderation

- Theoretical assumption of the moderation effect
- Issues in creating the product term of X and Z
- Measurement level of Y
- Collinearity between X and Z
- Unequal variances between groups
- Measurement errors in X, Y, and Z
Theoretical Assumption of Moderation Effect

Three types of Moderating effects:

1. Enhancing effect: The presence of a moderator enhances the effect of the predictor on the outcome variable.
2. Buffering effect: The presence of a moderator reduces the effect of the predictor on the outcome variable.
3. Antagonistic effect: The presence of a moderator reverse the effect of the predictor on the outcome variable.
Coding for the Interaction Term

The coding of the interaction term can be complex depending on how independent variables and moderators are measured.

1. Continuous X and Z

Original variables:
- Y: Level of happiness
- X: Income
- Z: Years of schooling

Interaction term:
- Income*Years of schooling
Coding for the Interaction Term

2. Categorical causal variables and moderators

Original variables:
- Y: Level of happiness
- X: Marital status:
  - (1) Single, (2) Married, and (3) Cohabiting
- Z: Gender: (1) Male and (2) Female

Dummy variables:
- Married is 1 if $X = 2$ and = 0 otherwise
- Cohabiting is 1 if $X = 3$ and = 0 otherwise
- Female is 1 if Gender = 2 and = 0 otherwise

Two interaction terms:
- Female*Married and Female*Cohabiting
Coding of the Interaction Term

3. Continuous X and Categorical Z

Original variables:
Y: Level of happiness
X: Income
Z: Marital status:
   (1) Single, (2) Married, and (3) Cohabiting

Dummy variables:
Married is 1 if X = 2 and = 0 otherwise
Cohabiting is 1 if X = 3 and = 0 otherwise

Interaction terms:
Income*Married and Income*Cohabiting
Coding of the Interaction Term

4. Categorical causal variables and Continuous moderators

Original variables:
- Y: Level of happiness
- X: Marital status:
  - (1) Single, (2) Married, and (3) Cohabiting
- Z: Income

Dummy variables:
- Married is 1 if $X = 2$ and $= 0$ otherwise
- Cohabiting is 1 if $X = 3$ and $= 0$ otherwise

Interaction terms:
- Married*Income and Cohabiting*Income
Measurement Level of Y

• The number of response categories of Y contributes to the possibility of detecting the moderation effects.

• If X and Z both have five response categories, their interaction term has 25 different combinations. It is easier to detect the effect of the interaction term when the values of the outcome variable has a wider range than a narrow range.
Collinearity between X and Z

- When X and Z are correlated with each other, it reduces the power of detecting the moderation effects.
- In extreme cases, you won’t be able to fit the model.
- You can try to reduce this problem by increasing sample size and/or centering and/or standardizing the variables.
Unequal Variances between Groups

- When testing moderation, it is assumed that the variance of regression coefficients estimated from different sub-groups are the same. But, if this is not true, different sub-groups should be analyzed separately.

- Use Chow test to determine if different groups of respondents should be combined.

- You can use structural equation modeling to test moderation effects when unequal variances exist between groups.
Measurement Errors in X, Y, and Z

• The measurement errors in X and Z reduce the reliability of the interaction term created from X and Z.

• The measurement error in Y reduces the correlation with the predictors, lowers the overall $R^2$ value, and the power of the test.
Mediation

Logic: If Z mediates the X-Y relation, then the following conditions hold

- X predict Y
- X predict Z
- Z predicts Y
- When Y are predicted by both X and Z:
  - The regression coefficient of Z (i.e., b) should be significant
  - The regression coefficient of X differently when Z is in the regression than when Z is not (i.e., c' is different from c).
Steps of Testing Mediation

1. Test if X predicts Y

\[ Y = B_1 + cX + \varepsilon_1 \]

2. Test if X predicts Z

\[ Y = B_2 + aX + \varepsilon_2 \]

3. Test if X still predicts Y when Z is in the model

\[ Y = B_3 + c'X + bZ + \varepsilon_3 \]
Decision Rules

• Z completely mediates the X-Y relation if all three conditions are met:
  (1) X predicts Y
  (2) X predicts Z
  (3) X no longer predicts Y, but Z does when both X and Z are used to predict Y

• Z partially mediates the X-Y relation if all three conditions are met:
  (1) X predicts Y
  (2) X predicts Z
  (3) Both X and Z predict Y, but X have a smaller regression coefficient when both X and Z are used to predict Y than when only X is used

• Z does not mediate the X-Y relation if any of
  (1) X does not predict Z
  (2) Z does not predict Y
  (3) The regression coefficient of X remain the same before and after Z is used to predict Y
Checklist of Modeling Mediation

• Theoretical assumptions on the mediator effects
• Establish causation among X, Y and Z
• Selection of the mediator
• Significance of the mediation effect
• Omitted variables
• Collinearity between X and Z
Theoretical Assumption on Mediation Effects

(1) Enhancer

Education (X) \[ \rightarrow \] + \[ \rightarrow \] Connection (Z) \[ \rightarrow \] + \[ \rightarrow \] net assets (Y)

(2) Suppressor

Education (X) \[ \rightarrow \] + \[ \rightarrow \] Connection (Z) \[ \rightarrow \] + \[ \rightarrow \] net assets (Y)
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Establish Causation among X, Y, and Z

• The mediation study indicates that X causes Z and then Z causes Y.

• Three conditions of causation between two variables:
  A association between two variables
  The association is not spurious
  The cause precedes the effect in time

• If you use cross-sectional data, you need to justify your mediation model
Selection of Mediators

• Mediator should be something changeable.

• The association of Z to X influences the possibility of detecting mediating effects. A high X-Z association implies that more variance in Z is explained by X, and there is less variance in Z to contribute to the prediction of Y.

• The association of Z to Y influences the possibility of detecting mediating effects. If the Z-Y association is slightly stronger than the X-Z association, it is easier to detect mediating effects.

• Measurement error in Z underestimates the Z-Y association and overestimates the X-Y association. Hoyle and Robinson (2003) recommended that the reliability of mediator should be at least .90.
Significance of Mediation Effect

• Describe the proportion of the X-Y relation that is attributable to z (Shrout & Bolger, 2002)

\[
\frac{ab}{c}
\]

Where a, b, and c are unstandardized regression coefficients

• Statistical test of the mediating effect by dividing the product of paths a and b by a standard error term (Baron & Kenny, 1986)

\[
Z = \frac{ab}{\sqrt{b^2sa^2 + a^2sb^2 + sa^2sb^2}}
\]

Where a and b are unstandardized regression coefficients and sa and sb are their standard errors
Omitted Variables

• If some omitted variables account for the X-Y or Z-Y associations, there may indeed no mediation effect.

• You need to justify that the X-Y and Z-Y relations are theoretical and empirically valid.
Collinearity between X and Z

- Because X predicts Z, there will be collinearity problem when they both in the same equation.

- In extreme cases, you won’t be able to fit the model.

- You can try to reduce this problem by increasing sample size and/or centering and/or standardizing the variables.
Extension

Moderation (more than one moderators)
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Extension

- Mediation
  - More than two mediators

\[ X \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow Y \]

- Moderated mediator (Edwards and Lambert, 2007)
  (1) first stage moderation model

\[ X \]
\[ \rightarrow M_1 \]
\[ \rightarrow M_2 \]
\[ \rightarrow Y \]
Extension

(2) Second Stage Moderation Model

(3) First and Second Stage Moderation Model
Extension

(4) Direct effect moderation model

(5) Direct Effect and First Stage Moderation Model
Extension

(6) Direct Effect and Second Stage Moderation Model

(7) Total Effect Moderation Model
Conclusion

• Moderation examines under what conditions, the X-Y relation varies, while mediation examines why the X-Y relation occurs.

• You should use theory to guide the examination of moderation and mediation because the same variables can play the role of mediator or moderator.

• Moderation and mediation can be examined simultaneously in mediated moderation and moderated mediation.

• Moderation and mediation can be examined by multiple regression. However, some complex moderation and mediation models may need to be examined by structural equation modeling.
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