

College of Arts and Sciences
**PROMOTION AND TENURE REVIEW COMMITTEE WORKSHEET
 FOR THREE-YEAR REAPPOINTMENT REVIEWS**

Name of Faculty _____ Department/School _____

Current Rank _____ Date Hired _____ Date of Ph.D./MFA _____

Date of probationary appointment _____

Based on unit's established criteria:

Decision: to recommend reappointment do not recommend reappointment

NUMERICAL SCALE		9 8 7	6 5 4	3 2 1	Comment		
		EFFECTIVE		INEFFECTIVE			
CATEGORIES OF EVALUATION	TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS	Student evaluations					
		Peer/supervisor evaluations					
		Other evidence: experimental units curricular development independent studies theses/dissert. (grad. depts.) faculty/student consultations, etc.					
		Overall teaching effectiveness rating					
			STRONG		WEAK		
	SCHOLARLY CREATIVE	Publications or performances/ exhibitions, etc.					
		Other: Papers read, etc. Editorship, consultant, etc. Grants or awards					
		Overall scholarly/creative rating					
			STRONG		WEAK		
	SERVICE	Department/school service					
		College/university service					
		Service to professional organizations/ larger community					
Overall service rating							
SUMMARY							

NOTES: